

# Clinical Studies with BioGaia Probiotics



2023



**BioGaia®**  
Supporting your invisible heroes

Table of Contents

	Page		
Clinical Studies Supporting the Use of BioGaia Probiotics with <i>Limosilactobacillus reuteri</i>	4	Therapy-Related Side Effects and Their Prevention	27
Clinical Studies with All BioGaia Probiotics by Age Group	4	- Antibiotic-Associated Side Effects in Children and Adults.....	27
Guidelines Supporting the Use of BioGaia Probiotics	5	- Cancer Treatment-Related Diarrhoea.....	27
Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders (FGIDs)	8	- Proton Pump Inhibitor Side Effects in Children.....	27
- Infant Colic - Management.....	8	<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> Infection	28
- Infant Colic - Prevention.....	9	- <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> Infection in Children and Adults.....	28
- Fifteen Meta-Analyses Supporting the Efficacy in Infant Colic.....	10	Metabolism and Nutrition	30
- Functional Abdominal Pain (FAP) in Children.....	11	- Diabetes Type 2.....	30
- Meta-Analysis Supporting the Efficacy in Children with FAP.....	11	- Iron Deficiency Anaemia.....	30
- Regurgitation in Infants.....	12	Neurodevelopmental Disorders	30
- Functional Constipation in Infants and Children.....	13	- Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD).....	30
- Prevention of FGIDs in Infants.....	14	Bone Health	31
- Functional Constipation in Adults.....	15	- Osteopenia.....	31
- Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS).....	15	Oral Health	32
Acute Gastroenteritis (AGE)	16	- Guidelines Supporting the use of BioGaia Probiotics.....	33
- AGE Management in Children.....	16	- Gingivitis.....	34
- Meta-analyses Supporting the Efficacy in Children with AGE.....	17	- Periodontitis.....	35
- AGE Prevention in Children.....	17	- Peri-Implant Mucositis and Peri-Implantitis.....	37
- AGE Management in Adults.....	18	- Caries-Associated risk factors in Children.....	38
Common Infections, Prevention and Management	19	- Caries-Associated risk factors in Adults.....	38
- Infection Protection in Infants and Children.....	19	- Immune Parameters in Saliva.....	40
- Infection Protection in Adults.....	22	- Other Oral Health Trials.....	40
Immune-Related Disorders and Immune Modulation	24	- Meta-analyses on Probiotics in Oral Health.....	42
- Management of Allergic Symptoms in Children and Adults.....	24	Colonisation and Microbiota Studies	44
- Allergy Prevention in Infants.....	24	Safety	46
- Modulation of Immune Parameters in Adults.....	25	- Safety in Infants and Children.....	46
Other Disorders/Illnesses with Gastrointestinal Symptoms	26	- Safety During Pregnancy.....	49
- Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Children.....	26	- Safety in Adults.....	50
- Diverticulitis.....	26	- Long Term Follow-up Studies in Infants and Children.....	51
- Lactose Intolerance in Adults.....	26	References	52



Welcome to the new edition of Clinical Studies with BioGaia Probiotics. It has been updated with new relevant publications published in 2023, and includes both clinical studies, reviews and guidelines. If you have the digital version, there are links that will take you directly to the online abstracts or articles.



## Clinical Studies Supporting the Use of BioGaia Probiotics with *Limosilactobacillus\* reuteri*

Including:



- *L. reuteri* DSM 17938\*\* = *L. reuteri* Protectis
- *L. reuteri* ATCC PTA 5289 + *L. reuteri* DSM 17938\*\* = *L. reuteri* Prodentis and Pharax
- *L. reuteri* ATCC PTA 6475 + *L. reuteri* DSM 17938\*\* = *L. reuteri* Gastrus
- *L. reuteri* ATCC PTA 6475 = *L. reuteri* Osfortis
- *L. reuteri* ATCC PTA 4659 = *L. reuteri* Colus

\* Previously named *Lactobacillus*

\*\* *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 is derived from *L. reuteri* ATCC 55730. By removal of two plasmids carrying tet (W) tetracycline and lnu (A) lincosamide resistance genes, the new daughter strain is free from potentially transferable resistance genes.

## Clinical Studies with All BioGaia Probiotics – Per Age Group

266 completed clinical studies in 22 634 individuals



## Guidelines Supporting the Use of BioGaia Probiotics

### *L. reuteri* in Infants and Children

- 7 guidelines supporting the use for AGE
- 6 guidelines supporting the use for colic
- 2 guidelines supporting the use for FAP

### *L. reuteri* in Adults

- 1 guideline supporting the use for antibiotic-associated diarrhoea
- 1 guideline supporting the use for HP eradication
- 1 guideline supporting the use for constipation



# Guidelines Supporting the Use of BioGaia Probiotics

## Infants and Children

New!

Author and Title	Description	Region	Strain	Indication
<b>Szajewska H, 2023</b> Probiotics for the management of pediatric gastrointestinal disorders: position paper of the ESPGHAN Special Interest Group on Gut Microbiota and Modifications.	The ESPGHAN Special Interest Group on Gut Microbiota and Modifications provides updated recommendations for the use of probiotics for the management of selected pediatric gastrointestinal disorders.	Europe	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Acute gastroenteritis, Functional abdominal pain, Management of Infant colic in breastfed infants
<b>Guarner F, 2023</b> World Gastroenterology Organisation Global Guidelines Probiotics and prebiotics	Evidence-based recommendations for probiotics and prebiotics from the World Gastroenterology Organisation (WGO).	Global	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Infant colic management, Infant colic prevention, Functional abdominal pain, Acute gastroenteritis.
<b>Indrio F, 2021</b> Management of the Most Common Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders in Infancy: The Middle East Expert Consensus.	During a consensus meeting, a locally relevant approach for treating common FGIDs such as infant regurgitation, infant colic, and functional constipation was discussed and approved by 14 regional experts.	Middle Eastern countries	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Infant colic
<b>Guarino A, 2018</b> Universal Recommendations for the Management of Acute Diarrhea in Nonmalnourished Children.	The Federation of International Societies of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (FISPGHAN) Working Group (WG) selected care protocols on the management of acute diarrhea in infants and children, and developed overall agreements based on this.	Global	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Acute gastroenteritis
<b>Hojdak I, 2018</b> Guidance on the use of probiotics in clinical practice in children with selected clinical conditions and in specific vulnerable groups.	Guidelines for using probiotics in paediatric health care, conducted by an expert panel that was convened by the European Paediatric Association.	Europe	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Infant colic
<b>Iramain R, 2017</b> Consensus Guideline on Acute Gastroenteritis in the Emergency Department. Emergency Medicine Committee of SLA-CIP (Latin American Society of Pediatric Intensive Care).	Recommendations for the diagnosis and management of acute gastroenteritis in pediatrics from the Latin American Society of Pediatric Intensive Care.	Latin America	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 & 55730	Acute gastroenteritis
<b>Cameron D, 2017</b> Probiotics for gastrointestinal disorders: Proposed recommendations for children of the Asia-Pacific region.	Recommendations for probiotics in pediatric gastrointestinal diseases in the Asia-Pacific region, developed by a working group of international experts in adult and pediatric gastroenterology from Asia-Pacific countries, as well as from countries outside the region.	Asia-Pacific region	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Infant colic, Acute gastroenteritis
<b>Lo Vecchio A, 2016</b> An international consensus report on a new algorithm for the management of infant diarrhoea.	Evidence-based recommendations of the European Society of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition and the European Society of Pediatric Infectious Diseases and an updated review of the literature.	Europe	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Acute gastroenteritis
<b>Cruchet S, 2015</b> The use of probiotics in pediatric gastroenterology: a review of the literature and recommendations by Latin-American experts.	Guidelines based on discussions of a Latin American (LATAM) expert consensus group. Relevant clinical questions were used as a basis for discussion and topics were divided between authors according to their field of expertise in various childhood diseases.	Latin America	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Infant colic, Acute gastroenteritis

## Adults

New!

Author and Title	Description	Region	Strain	Indication
<b>Guarner F, 2023</b> World Gastroenterology Organisation Global Guidelines Probiotics and prebiotics.	Evidence-based recommendations for probiotics and prebiotics from the World Gastroenterology Organisation (WGO).	Global	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938. <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 + <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 6475 for HP eradication.	Antibiotic-associated diarrhea, Co-adjuvant therapy for HP eradication, Functional constipation, Uncomplicated diverticulitis, Prophylaxis and treatment of candidiasis.





Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders (FGIDs)

Infant Colic - Management

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Wadhwa A. 2022</a> India	To observe the role of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in reducing crying time in colicky infants in a routine clinical practice.	Prospective, observational 21 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 120 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 was in colicky infants associated with a significant reduction in crying time, and showed improvement in maternal depression.
<a href="#">Chau K. 2015</a> Canada	Investigate the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 for the treatment of infant colic in breastfed infants ≤ 6 months.	R, DB, PC 21 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 24 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 28	Compared to placebo: · <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly improved colic symptoms by reducing median crying and fussing times at days 7, 14 and 21. · The rate of responders (50% reduction in daily crying time) was significantly higher in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group compared with the control group at day 21.
<a href="#">Szajewska H.W. 2013</a> Poland	Efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on infant colic in infants younger than 5 months, exclusively or pre-dominantly breastfed. Effect on screaming intensity and family quality of life. The trial included follow-up one week after termination of ingestion of the study product.	R, DB, PC 21 days + 7 days follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 40 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 40	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced daily crying time compared to placebo · Significantly more responders on day 7, 14, 21 and 28 (follow-up) compared to placebo · Parents' rating of screaming intensity and family quality of life was significantly decreased and increased, respectively, at all time points
<a href="#">Savino F. 2010</a> Italy	To study the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on infant colic in infants 2-16 weeks old, and investigate changes in the faecal microbiota.	R, DB, PC 21 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 21	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced daily crying time compared to placebo · Significantly more responders on day 7, 14 and 21 compared to placebo · Reduced faecal <i>E. coli</i> and increased counts of lactobacilli in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group only
<a href="#">Savino F. 2021</a> Italy	To examine urinary metabolomic fingerprints and crying time in colicky breastfed infants.	R, DB, PC 28 days.	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 16 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 16	Compared to placebo <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced daily crying time from day 0 to day 28. Furthermore, <i>L. reuteri</i> was linked to an increase of urinary metabolites that might be related to an improvement of gut absorption.
<a href="#">Savino F. 2019</a> Italy	To investigate CC-Chemokine Receptor 7 (CCR7) and interleukin 10 (IL-10) expression in breastfed colicky infants treated with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938. The secondary outcome was to evaluate crying time.	R, DB, PC 28 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 21 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 25	Compared to placebo <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · Increased expression of CCR7 · Reduced crying time No difference was observed for IL-10 after the study period in either group. The increased expression of CCR7 could be a response to the probiotic treatment, suggesting that this could be part of the mechanism for the positive effects on colic by <i>L. reuteri</i> .
<a href="#">Savino F. 2018a</a> Italy	To evaluate crying time, changes in mRNA levels of transcription factors RORγ (Th17 cell marker) and FOXP3 (Treg marker), and to investigate gut microbiota and faecal calprotectin in infants treated with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 for infant colic.	R, DB, PC 30 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 32 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 28	Compared to placebo <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · Reduced crying time · Increased FOXP3 concentration, resulting in a decreased RORγ/ FOXP3 mRNA ratio · Reduced faecal calprotectin
<a href="#">Savino F. 2018b</a> Italy	To investigate levels of Treg cells and TLR2 and TLR4 mRNA expression in infants with and without colic (<60 days old). The secondary outcome was the impact of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on Treg and TLR mRNA expression.	R, DB, PC 28 days	Control group without colic: 25 With colic: <i>L. reuteri</i> : 18 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 16	· At baseline there were no differences in mRNA levels of Treg cells, TLR2 or TLR4 between infants with or without colic. · <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly decreased crying time (302.3±19.86 min/day on day 0 vs 76.75±22.15 min/day on day 28, P=0.001) and increased FoxP3 mRNA expression. · TLR2 and TLR4 mRNA expression increased in both groups.
<a href="#">Mi G-L. 2015</a> China	Evaluate the effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on colicky infants < 4 months old, exclusively or predominantly breastfed: on rate of treatment success, reduction in daily crying time, parent satisfaction and maternal depression.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 19	Significant effects compared to placebo: · Treatment success (≥ 50% reduction of crying time vs. baseline) was 100% in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group vs. 16% in the placebo group. · Reduction in mean daily crying time (from 201 to 32 min/d in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group vs. 201 to 121 min/d in the placebo group). Differences were significant at each weekly evaluation. · Parental satisfaction (100% vs 16% in the placebo group). · Improved maternal depression scores throughout the study period (Edinburgh postnatal depression scale). · No report of adverse effects in any of the groups.
<a href="#">Sung V. 2014</a> Australia	Efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on infant colic in infants < 3 months, with mixed feeding types. Colic defined as daily combined screaming or fussing of 180 minutes or more. Maternal mental health and family quality of life (QoL) were also studied.	R, DB, PC 28 days + follow-up at 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 67 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 60  Other probiotics than <i>L. reuteri</i> were allowed for mothers and/or infants, and also use of proton pump inhibitor	Compared to placebo: · At day 28 mean values: 49 min more daily screaming + fussing time in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group (p<0.02), due to more fussing time in this group · At day 28 median values: no difference · No difference in duration of screaming time · No difference in number of episodes of screaming/fussing, or in sleeping time · No difference between groups in family QoL or maternal mental health
<a href="#">Savino F. 2007</a> Italy	Efficacy on infant colic in infants 11–80 days old.	R, open 28 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 41 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Simethicone: 42	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced daily crying time compared to simethicone · On day 28, 95% were responders in the probiotic group vs. 7% in the simethicone group

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders (FGIDs)

Infant Colic - Management

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Martinelli M. 2017</a> Italy	To compare the effectiveness of three alternative treatments of infant colic: A) a mixture of standardized extract of <i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> L., <i>Melissa officinalis</i> L. and tyndallized <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> (H122) compared with B) <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> DSM 17938, and C) simethicone.	R, Open, multi-centre, 21 days + 7 days of follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 45 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Herbs + tyndallized <i>L. acidophilus</i> : 45 Simethicone: 43	· Rate of treatment success was significantly greater in Group A and B, 30/45 and 31/45, respectively vs. 19/43 in group C. · Mean daily crying time was significantly reduced in both Group A (from 211.3 ± 40 min/day to 69.6 ± 59 min/day) and in Group B (from 201.6 ± 32.5 min/day to 58.1 ± 48.9 min/day) vs. Group C (from 199.5 ± 32 min/day to 106 ± 56.5 min/day). · No significant difference was observed between Group A and B (p = 0.4). · No adverse events were reported in any of the groups.
<a href="#">Karadag N. 2012</a> (abstract) Turkey	Efficacy on infant colic and mother's postpartum depression comparing <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 with herbal drops and sterile water. Baby massage was practiced in all three groups.	R, open 21 days + follow-up of mother's mental health after 2 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Herbal drops: 24 Sterile water: 25	· <i>L. reuteri</i> and sterile water significantly reduced daily crying time compared to herbal drops at three weeks · At three weeks the daily crying time was 35 minutes in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group compared to 188 minutes in the sterile water group and 300 min in the herbal drops group · A significant drop in depression and anxiety scores were seen only for mothers in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group at the follow-up at two months

Infant Colic - Prevention

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Indrio F. 2014</a> Italy	Investigate if oral supplementation with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 during the first 3 months of life can reduce the onset of colic, gastroesophageal reflux, and constipation in term newborns, and in addition reduce the socio-economic impact of these conditions.	R, DB, PC 90 days  Multicentre study	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 238 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 230	Compared to placebo: · Daily administration of <i>L. reuteri</i> early in life reduced the duration of daily inconsolable type of crying, frequency of regurgitation, and incidence of functional constipation in the first 3 months of life · Private and public costs for the management of these conditions were significantly reduced for infants receiving <i>L. reuteri</i>
<a href="#">Savino F. 2015a</a> Italy	Test the preventive effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 combined with vitamin D, on infant colic.	12 weeks Randomized, open label study, blinded outcome analyst. Commercial vitamin D drop product as the comparator.	<i>L. reuteri</i> + vit. D: 51 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Vit. D only: 54	Prevention of colic was significantly more successfully achieved in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group compared with the control group. The effect was indirectly measured, and demonstrated by significantly less use of pain-relieving agents, contacts with doctor (calls and visits due to symptoms of colic), and change of feeding to partially or exclusively infant formula.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders (FGIDs)

Fifteen Meta-Analyses Supporting the Efficacy in Infant Colic

Reviews with meta-analysis of <i>L. reuteri</i> effects	Number of Studies	Effect in breastfed infants	Effect in mixed fed infants	Effect in formula-fed infants	Safe to use
<a href="#">Ichsan, et al. 2022</a>	6	✓	No statement	More studies needed	✓
<a href="#">Shirazinia R, et al. 2021</a>	10	✓ <sup>2</sup>	✓ <sup>2</sup>	✓ <sup>2</sup>	Not evaluated
<a href="#">Vaz S R, et al. 2023</a>	13	✓	✓	More studies needed	Not evaluated
<a href="#">Dos Reis Buzzo Zermiani AP, et al. 2021</a>	8	✓	NA	NA	✓
<a href="#">Ellwood J, et al. 2020</a>	32 <sup>1</sup>	✓	No statement	No	✓
<a href="#">Skonieczna-Żydecka K, et al. 2020</a>	16	✓	✓	No	✓
<a href="#">Sung V, et al. 2018</a>	4	✓	✓	No	✓
<a href="#">Dryl R, Szajewska H, 2018</a>	5	✓	✓	No	Not evaluated
<a href="#">Gutiérrez-Castrellón P, et al. 2017</a>	5	✓ <sup>2</sup>	✓ <sup>2</sup>	✓ <sup>2</sup>	Not evaluated
<a href="#">Schreck Bird A, et al. 2017</a>	5	✓	✓	More studies needed	✓
<a href="#">Harb T, et al. 2016</a>	6 <sup>1</sup>	✓	✓	More studies needed	✓
<a href="#">Xu M, et al. 2015</a>	5	✓	No statement	More studies needed	✓
<a href="#">Urbańska M, Szajewska H, 2014</a>	3	✓	✓	More studies needed	✓
<a href="#">Sung V, et al. 2013</a>	3	✓	No statement	More studies needed	✓
<a href="#">Anabrees J, et al. 2013</a>	3	✓	Possibly	More studies needed	✓

<sup>1</sup> Analysis of different interventions for colic  
<sup>2</sup> No subgroup analysis according to feeding mode

Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders (FGIDs)

Functional Abdominal Pain (FAP) in Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Jadrešin O, 2020</a> Croatia	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in the treatment of FAP in children aged 4-18 years. This study was performed after interim analysis of Jadrešin 2017 in order reach the initial targeted sample size.	R, DB, PC 3 months + 1 month follow up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 24 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 22	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · increased days without pain · reduced intensity of pain at 4 months  Pooled data from both studies confirmed increased days without pain and reduced severity of pain.
<a href="#">Weizman Z, 2016</a> Israel	To assess the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on FAP in children aged 6-15 years, with the primary outcomes frequency and intensity of abdominal pain. Intensity measured by Hicks face scoring system, ranking 0=no pain and 10=very severe pain.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks + 4 weeks of follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 47 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 46	Compared to placebo: · Frequency of pain was significantly reduced at 4 weeks with 1.9 vs. 3.6 episodes/week in the <i>L. reuteri</i> and placebo group, respectively. · Intensity of pain was significantly reduced during the supplementation: 4.3 vs. 7.2 on Hicks scale. This effect that was sustained at the follow-up at 8 weeks: 4.8 vs. 6.4. · For other GI symptoms there was a significant reduction in the incidence of abdominal distention and bloating in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group.
<a href="#">Romano C, 2014</a> Italy	To study if <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 affect FAP in children aged 6-16 years.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks suppl.+ 4w follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 26	· Significantly reduced severity of abdominal pain during <i>L. reuteri</i> intake · Reduction in pain sustained up to 4 weeks after cessation of <i>L. reuteri</i> · Pain frequency decreased significantly during the 8 weeks in both groups
<a href="#">Rahmani P, 2020</a> India	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in the treatment of Recurrent Abdominal Pain in children 6 to 16 years.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 65 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 60	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · decreased the frequency, severity and duration of abdominal pain · improved the pain pattern
<a href="#">Jadrešin O, 2017</a> Croatia	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in the treatment of FAPand irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) in children aged 4-18 years.	R, DB, PC 3 months + 1 month of follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 26 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 29	Results of interim analysis of the study: · Significant increase in days free of pain in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group compared to placebo: 80% vs. 46% of study days. · Both groups showed significant reduction in severity of pain compared to baseline. · Results suggest an effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> also in children with IBS.
<a href="#">Maragkoudaki M, 2017</a> Greece, Slovenia, Poland	Multicenter trial to assess the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in children with functional abdominal pain, mean age 9y. Primary outcome was pain frequency and intensity. Secondary outcomes: other GI symptoms, need for drugs to relieve pain, child school and adult work absenteeism, treatment success (> 50% reduction in pain score).	R, DB, PC 4 weeks + 4 weeks follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 27 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 27	Compared to baseline, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly decreased child school and adult work absenteeism as well as the use of drugs to relieve pain. This was not seen in the placebo group.  Comment: The study was underpowered for detection of significant differences between the two groups, due to premature closure of the study based on very slow inclusion rate.
<a href="#">Eftekhari K, 2015</a> Iran	To assess the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in the treatment of functional abdominal pain (FAP) in children aged 4-16 years.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks + 4 weeks of follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 40 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 40	There were no differences between the groups in pain frequency, pain severity or 'associated gut symptoms' during the intervention and the follow-up periods. Within both groups, there was a significant reduction in pain frequency and severity from baseline to end of the intervention period. Study limitations: There is no account of type of placebo, and report of outcomes is not consistent.

Meta-Analysis Supporting the Efficacy in Children with FAP

Reference	Study Objectives	Number of studies	Strains Included	Results
<a href="#">Trivić I, 2020</a> Croatia	Systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate strain-specific probiotic effects on functional abdominal pain in children.	9	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GG (LGG) and <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 .	· Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 significantly reduce pain intensity and increase number of days without pain · No significant benefit of LGG supplementation in the treatment of FAP · Further studies regarding long-term outcomes, possibly involving longer interventions, are needed

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Regurgitation in Infants

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Indrio F, 2011</a> Italy	To evaluate the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on gastric function in full term formula-fed infants with ≥ 4 regurgitation episodes/day.	R, DB, PC 30 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 19 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 15	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced regurgitation episodes by 50% · <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly increased gastric emptying rate at 30 days compared to baseline
<a href="#">Papagaroufalis K, 2014</a> Greece	To assess the safety of infant formula containing <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 during the first month of life, with special reference to D-lactic acid, in comparison to infants fed a control formula. Other outcomes were GI tolerance, sleeping and crying behaviour, growth and occurrence of adverse events.	R, DB, PC 28 days  Follow-up on days 112 and 168	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 36 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 35  31 infants in each group took part in the follow-up on days 112 and 168	Compared to control formula: · Regurgitation episodes were significantly fewer in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group · The probiotic group had significantly lower frequency of hard stools and higher percentage of soft stools at day 28
<a href="#">Indrio F, 2017</a> Italy	To evaluate the efficacy of a partially hydrolyzed whey protein formula containing additional starch and <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) on frequency of regurgitation and gastric emptying in infants with functional regurgitation. Gastric emptying rate (GErate), measured by ultrasound, was defined as reduction in antral cross-sectional area in relation to ingestion of meal, at time 0 and after 120 min.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks	Thickened, partially hydrolyzed formula + <i>L. reuteri</i> : 37 (2.8x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/g powder) Standard formula: 35	Compared to control, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced daily regurgitations (baseline vs. day 28): · 7.4 vs. 2.6 in the Lr group · 7.5 vs. 5.3 in control group  GErate percentage change between week 0 and week 4 was significantly higher in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group compared to controls: median 12.3% and 9.1%, respectively.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Functional Constipation in Infants and Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Coccorullo P, 2010</a> Italy	To evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in 6-12 months old infants with chronic functional constipation.	R, DB, PC 8 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 22 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 22	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly improved: · Defecation frequency compared to placebo · Faecal consistency compared to baseline
<a href="#">Kubota M, 2020</a> Japan	To evaluate the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and MgO on chronic functional constipation in children 6 months to 6 years.	R, DB, PC parallel-gro-up, 4 weeks	1) <i>L. reuteri</i> + MgO placebo: 20 2) <i>L. reuteri</i> + MgO: 19 3) MgO + <i>L. reuteri</i> placebo: 21 ( <i>L. reuteri</i> : 2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU; MgO: 30 mg/kg bw)	All groups experienced a significant improvement in defecation frequency at week 4. <i>L. reuteri</i> and MgO were equally effective in the management of functional constipation in young children.
<a href="#">Contreras AAG, 2020</a> Mexico	To assess the efficacy of a probiotic ( <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938), a prebiotic (agave inulin) or symbiotic (both), on stool characteristics in children with cerebral palsy (CP) and chronic constipation, aged 14 to 60 months.	R, DB, PC 28 days	1) <i>L. reuteri</i> + agave inulin placebo: 10 2) Agave inulin + <i>L. reuteri</i> placebo: 10 3) <i>L. reuteri</i> + agave inulin: 10 4) <i>L. reuteri</i> placebo + agave inulin placebo: 7 ( <i>L. reuteri</i> : 1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU; agave inulin: 4 g)	Both <i>L. reuteri</i> and agave inulin improved stool characteristics and constipation in children with CP. In addition, <i>L. reuteri</i> improved intestinal motility and lowered stool pH.
<a href="#">Olgaç B, 2013</a> Turkey	To evaluate the effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and lactulose, respectively, on functional constipation in children aged 4-16 years. In addition, Quality of life (QoL) and perception of disease was assessed at baseline and at the end of treatment by both the children and parents, and compared to QoL of a healthy group of children.	R, open 4 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Lactulose: 28 (1mg/kg/d)  Control group of healthy children for comparison of QoL: 50	<i>L. reuteri</i> was equal to lactulose in significant improvement compared to baseline, in: · Frequency of defecation · Stool consistency · Abdominal pain, painful defecation and stool-withholding behaviour <i>L. reuteri</i> was significantly more effective compared to lactulose in reduction of: · Abdominal pain · Flatulence From the parents' perspective, QoL and perception of disease was significantly improved in the lactulose group but not in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group. Children's scores of QoL and perception of disease were significantly increased in both groups, and to the level of healthy children.
<a href="#">Zaja O, 2021</a> Croatia	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on constipation in children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa (AN).	R, DB, PC 12 weeks + 12w follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 15 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 16	· At 12 weeks, stool was normalized in the majority of patients of both groups, without statistical difference. · At follow-up, significantly more subjects in the Lr group had normalized frequency of defecation and body weight (93% and 63%, respectively, p=0.04). · Recovery of bone health and serum vit. D levels showed a stronger positive trend in the Lr group vs. the placebo group.
<a href="#">Papagaroufalis K, 2014</a> Greece	To assess the safety of infant formula containing <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 during the first month of life, with special reference to D-lactic acid, in comparison to infants fed a control formula. Other outcomes were GI tolerance, sleeping and crying behaviour, growth and occurrence of adverse events.	R, DB, PC 28 days  Follow-up on days 112 and 168	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 36 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 35  31 infants in each group took part in the follow-up on days 112 and 168	Compared to control formula: · Regurgitation episodes were significantly fewer in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group · The probiotic group had significantly lower frequency of hard stools and higher percentage of soft stools at day 28
<a href="#">Wegner A, 2018</a> Poland	Assess if functional constipation in children aged 2-7y, with prior failure of ordinary constipation treatment, could be relieved by use of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 as an adjunct to treatment with macrogole (=PEG, polyethylene glycol).	R, DB, PC 8 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> + PEG: 59 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo + PEG: 62	<i>L. reuteri</i> had no additional effect to the treatment with macrogole on mean number of bowel movements (BM) per week (7.5±3.3 vs 6.9±2.5, in the active and placebo group, respectively) or number of patients who increased their frequency of BM. The incidence of constipation-related GI symptoms was the same between groups.
<a href="#">Jadrešin O, 2018</a> Croatia	Investigate the additional effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> to lactulose in the treatment of functional constipation.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks + 4 weeks follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> + lactulose: 18 Placebo + lactulose: 15 ( <i>L. reuteri</i> : 1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU; lactulose: 1-3 ml/kg/day)	No additional benefit of <i>L. reuteri</i> together with lactulose in the treatment of functional constipation. Due to slow recruitment rate the study was terminated prematurely, and therefore the results should be interpreted with caution.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Prevention of FGIDs in Infants

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Indrio F, 2014</a> Italy	Investigate if oral supplementation with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 during the first 3 months of life can reduce the onset of colic, gastroesophageal reflux, and constipation in term newborns, and in addition reduce the socio-economic impact of these conditions	R, DB, PC 90 days  Multicentre study	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 238 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 230	Compared to placebo: · Daily administration of <i>L. reuteri</i> early in life reduced daily inconsolable type of crying, frequency of regurgitation, and incidence of functional constipation in the first 3 months of life · Private and public costs for the management of these conditions were significantly reduced for infants receiving <i>L. reuteri</i>

Functional Constipation in Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Riezzo G, 2018</a> Italy	To study the effect of a 15-week supplementation of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in adults with chronic functional constipation and normal colonic transit time. Primary outcome was change in Constipaq score (constipation symptoms and quality of life). Secondary outcomes were constipation symptom item's scores. Mean age 44 years.	R, DB, PC 105 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 28 (Induction period, 15 days: 4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU=4 tablets Standard dose, 90 days: 2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU=2 tablets) Placebo: 28	Compared to the placebo group at day 105, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · Reduced the Constipaq score, which includes quality-of-life evaluation (p<0.0001) · Reduced symptoms related to gas production and dysbiosis (incomplete defecation, abdominal discomfort, pain, and bloating) · Reduced the need of laxatives <i>L. reuteri</i> had no effect on stool consistency.
<a href="#">Riezzo G, 2019</a> (substudy of Riezzo 2018) Italy	To evaluate pathophysiological aspects = serum concentrations of GI neuropeptides serotonin (5-HT) and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and their association with changes in symptoms and quality-of-life scores during intake of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 or placebo in adults with chronic functional constipation (FC). Results on symptoms and quality of life (QoL) in this cohort of patients are previously published in Riezzo et al. 2018.	R, DB, PC 105 days	See information above. Additional group of healthy controls, n= 20, for comparison of serum levels of 5-HT and BDNF	· Baseline serum levels of 5-HT were significantly higher in FC subjects compared to healthy controls · 5-HT and BDNF were significantly reduced compared to placebo at the end of intervention (day 105) · 5-HT in the Lr group was reduced by 24% (p<0.008) to a level non-significant from that of healthy controls, and significantly different from placebo (p<0.04), on day 105. · Neither 5-HT nor BDNF serum levels showed correlation with the symptoms or QoL scores.
<a href="#">Ojetti V, 2014</a> Italy	The effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on functional constipation in adults of mean age 35.6 (± 15) years	R, DB, PC 4 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 20	· Frequency of defecation per week was significantly increased at week 4 compared to placebo · Stool consistency was somewhat improved but without significant difference compared to baseline or compared to placebo
<a href="#">Ojetti V, 2017</a> Italy	The effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on production of methane (CH <sub>4</sub> ) in adults with functional constipation. Methane production of >5 ppm during a H <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> lactulose breath test (LBT). Mean age 36y.	Open, no control group 4 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	· Compared to baseline, there was a significant reduction in the CH <sub>4</sub> production by <i>L. reuteri</i> : 8.9 ± 8.6 ppm vs. 20.8 ± 15 ppm, and on AUC value (Area Under the Curve): 2128.4 vs. 5101.5. · 11 patients (55%) ceased to produce methane (<5 ppm). · Bowel movements/week were significantly increased compared to baseline: 6.4 ± 0.7 vs. 4.1 ± 1.2.

Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS)

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<div>New!</div> <a href="#">Cruchet S, 2024</a> Chile / Mexico	To evaluate efficacy and safety of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 + ATCC PTA 6475 (Gastrus) on symptoms of IBS in adults.	R, DB, PC 14 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 70 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 70	<i>L. reuteri</i> Gastrus significantly improved overall IBS symptoms (GSRS-IBS score), individual scores (abdominal pain, pain relieved by a bowel action, bloating, passing gas, visible distension), Quality of Life and anxiety from treatment week 6 and onwards.
<a href="#">Niv E, 2005</a> Israel	To evaluate <i>L. reuteri</i> for treatment of mixed type IBS in adults.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 27 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 27	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> showed strong tendency to effect on: · Reduced gases · Reduced constipation Study limitations: underpowered, due to only one study center of two started and completed the study

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Acute Gastroenteritis (AGE)

AGE Management in Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
Ruzhentsova TA, 2018 Russia	Comparison of BioGaia ORS with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and zinc vs. ORS product Rehydron + <i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i> , for treatment of acute gastroenteritis in hospitalized children, 5 mo -13y. In addition to ORS, intestinal sorbents, antimicrobial and antipyretic therapy were used.	R, open  Product ingested until cessation of diarrhoea	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/ sachet/250mL individualized dosage) Rehydron: 30 individualized dosage <i>B. bifidum</i> : <1y: 1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU 2-3 times/d, >1y: 5x10 <sup>8</sup> 2-3 times/d	Compared to Rehydron + <i>B. bifidum</i> , BioGaia ORS: · Significantly reduced duration of diarrhoea by 0.9 days 2.2 vs. 3.1 days) · Abdominal pain ended significantly faster in the BioGaia ORSgroup: 0.3 days vs. 1.9 days. · Acceptance of the BioGaia ORS was 100% vs. 73% (22/30) of Rehydron
<u>Dinleyici EC, 2014</u> Turkey	The efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in children aged 3 – 60 mo, and hospitalized for acute diarrhoea. Both groups of children received conventional rehydration therapy, but the control group received no probiotic.	R, single blind- ded (effects analyst) 5 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 64 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 63	Compared to controls: · <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced the duration of diarrhoea · The proportion of children with watery diarrhoea after 48h and 72h was significantly reduced · Duration of hospital stay was significantly reduced · Prolonged diarrhoea was only reported in the control group of children
<u>Dinleyici EC, 2015</u> Turkey	The efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in children aged 3 – 60 mo, and treated as outpatients for acute diarrhoea. Both active and control group of children received conventional rehydration therapy, but the control group received no probiotic.	R, single blind- ded (effects analyst) 5 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 29 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 31	Compared to controls: · <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced the duration of diarrhoea · At 48h the proportion of children with watery diarrhoea was significantly reduced From the 72nd hour of intervention, there was no difference between the two groups in the percentage of children with watery diarrhoea
<u>Francavilla R, 2012</u> Italy	Effect on acute gastroenteritis caused by rotavirus in children 6-36 months old, and hospitalized due to clinical signs of mild to moderate dehydration.	R, DB, PC 7 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 35 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 34	Compared to placebo <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · reduced the duration of diarrhoea by 1.2 days · the frequency of watery diarrhoea was significantly reduced on treatment days 2 and 3 · the number of children with normal stool consistency was significantly higher on days 2 and 3
<u>Eom T-H, 2005</u> South Korea	Reduction of symptoms in children hospitalized for acute gastroenteritis and aged 6 mo – 3y.	R, DB, PC 5 days or until discharged	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 25	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: · frequency of watery diarrhoea · frequency of vomiting · hospital stay
<u>Shornikova A, 1997a</u> Finland	Treatment of children hospitalized for acute gastroenteritis and aged 6 mo – 3y.	R, DB, PC 5 days or until discharged	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 19 (1x10 <sup>10</sup> -1x10 <sup>11</sup> CFU) Placebo: 21	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: · frequency of watery diarrhoea · frequency of vomiting
<u>Shornikova A, 1997b</u> Finland	Treatment of children hospitalized for acute rotavirus gastroenteritis and aged 6 mo – 3y.	R, DB, PC 5 days or until discharged	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 21 (1x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (1x10 <sup>7</sup> CFU) Placebo: 25	<i>L. reuteri</i> in the high dose significantly reduced: · duration of watery diarrhoea · frequency of diarrhoea Positive, but non-significant, effects were seen also in the low dose group compared to placebo
<u>Pernica JM, 2017</u> Botswana	Pilot trial to verify the feasibility of a trial designed to measure the benefits of rapid enteric diagnostic testing (REDT) and <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on acute gastroenteritis, recurrence of diarrhoea and growth in children aged 2-60 mo. and admitted to hospital. In addition, the children were treated with standard rehydration therapy, zinc, and targeted antimicrobial treatment if indicated.	R, DB, PC 60 days	1. <i>L. reuteri</i> + REDT: 18 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU = 5 drops/d) 2. Placebo + RETD: 17 3. <i>L. reuteri</i> + standard care: 15 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU = 5 drops/d) 4. Placebo + standard care: 20	Rapid enteric diagnostic testing and <i>L. reuteri</i> supplementation for 60 days was associated with a significant increase in 60-day adjusted standardized height and significantly less recurrent diarrhoea compared to standard care and placebo treatment. Conclusions: Rapid diagnostics and <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 therapy hold promise for the treatment of gastroenteritis and the prevention of stunting in children living in high-burden settings.
<u>Maragkoudaki M, 2018</u> Greece	The efficacy of an oral rehydration solution (ORS) enriched with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and zinc, in children treated as outpatients for acute diarrhoea. The control group received an ORS of similar osmolality but without the probiotic and zinc. Mean age of the children was 1.8 years.	R, DB, PC 5 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 28 (≈7x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/first 4 hours*) Control: 23 * 1 sachet of the probiotic ORS contained 1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU, to be blended with 250 mL of water	All of the outcomes showed a trend to superiority in the <i>L. reuteri</i> + zinc-ORS group without reaching statistical significance compared to control for any of them.  The study enrolled too few subjects to be able to show any statistically significant differences between groups.
<u>Szymański H, 2019</u> Poland	Effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 as an adjunct to oral rehydration therapy of children younger than 5 years, hospitalized for acute diarrhoea lasting ≤ 5 days.	R, DB, PC 5 days Followed-up for 3 days to investigate any recurrence of diarrhoea	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 44 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 47	Compared to placebo, the effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> were: · Duration of diarrhoea was unaffected · Duration of hospital stay was significantly reduced by 6h. This effect was more pronounced in children unvaccinated against rotavirus (75% of the study population) with a reduction of almost 10h (p<0.025). · No difference in other outcomes including adverse events

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Acute Gastroenteritis (AGE)

Meta-Analyses Supporting the Efficacy in Children with AGE

Reference	Study Objectives	Number of Studies	Strains Included	Results
<b>New!</b> <u>Sun X, 2023</u>	To summarize the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in the treatment plan for diarrheal disease in children, and analyze the potential of probiotics in preventing the occurrence of diarrheal disease.	9 RCTs: Maragkoudaki 2018, Shornikova 1997, Dinleyici 2015, Francavilla 2012, Pernica 2017, Dinleyici 2014, Wanke 2012, Urbanska 2016, Kolodziej 2019	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	Compared to placebo/no intervention, <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 significantly reduced the number of patients with diarrhea on day 1 and 2. However, it had no effect on the prevention of diarrhea.
<u>Patro-Golqab B, 2019</u>	To systematically update evidence on the effectiveness of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in the treatment of AGE in children. The review was initiated as part of the update of the guidelines for the use of probiotics in the management of AGE in children.	4 RCTs: Dinleyici 2014, Dinleyici 2015, Francavilla 2012, Szymański H 2019	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938	The addition of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 to standard rehydration therapy (compared with placebo or no intervention): · reduced duration of diarrhoea by 21h · reduced hospitalization with 13h The findings may inform guideline development groups about the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 for treating children with AGE.

AGE Prevention in Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Gutiérrez-Castrellón P, 2014</u> Mexico	Evaluate if daily administration of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 reduces the frequency and duration of diarrhoea episodes and duration of diarrhoea episodes and respiratory tract infections (RTI) in Mexican day school children aged 6-36 months. A cost-effectiveness analysis was also made.	R, DB, PC 3 months of intervention, follow-up at 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 168 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 168	Compared to placebo: · <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced the frequency and duration of episodes of diarrhoea and respiratory tract infection at both 3 and 6 months · he number of doctor visits, antibiotic use, absenteeism from day school and parental absenteeism from work were significantly reduced · The use of <i>L. reuteri</i> was associated with a reduction of costs by 36 US dollars (USD) for each case of diarrhoea, and by 37 USD for each case of RTI
<u>Weizman Z, 2005</u> Israel	Prevention of common infections in day-care children 4-10 months old.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 68 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Bb-12: 73 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Control: 60	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced (compared to Bb-12 and control): · Days with fever · Need to consult doctor and need of antibiotics · Absence from day-care Both probiotics significantly reduced: · Episodes with fever · Episodes and days with diarrhoea
<u>Agustina R, 2012a</u> Indonesia	To investigate milk with low and regular calcium content, respectively, and the addition of probiotics ( <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 or <i>L. casei</i> CRL431) to milk with regular calcium content, on the incidence and duration of diarrhoea and acute respiratory infections in healthy Indonesian children, 1-6y old.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 124 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. casei</i> : 120 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Low calcium milk: 124 Regular calcium milk: 126	Only <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: · Incidence of diarrhoea in children with lower nutritional status, irrespective of definition of diarrhoea · Incidence of diarrhoea in all children when diarrhoea was defined as ≥ 2 loose/liquid stools/24h instead of ≥ 3 loose/ liquid stools/24h The interventions had no effect on incidence or duration of acute respiratory infection
Agustina R, 2012b (substudy of Agustina 2012a) Indonesia	To investigate milk with low and regular calcium content, respectively, and the addition of probiotics ( <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 or <i>L. casei</i> CRL431) to milk with regular calcium content, on the incidence and duration of acute diarrhoea due to rotavirus or other causes in healthy Indonesian children, 1-6y old.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 124 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. casei</i> : 120 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Low calcium milk: 124 Regular calcium milk: 126	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced the duration of diarrhoea in affected children · Rotavirus-positive episodes were significantly shortened by <i>L. reuteri</i> and by calcium · <i>L. casei</i> shortened the duration of rotavirus-negative episodes
<u>Wanke M, 2012</u> Poland	The efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in prevention of nosocomial diarrhoea in hospitalized children, 1-48 months old.	R, DB, PC During hospital stay	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 54 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 52	<i>L. reuteri</i> did not affect the incidence of hospital-acquired diarrhoeal disease.
<u>Urbanska M, 2016</u> Poland	The efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in prevention of nosocomial diarrhoea in hospitalized children, 1-48 months old. A repeat of Wanke's trial with a 10 times higher dose.	R, DB, PC During hospital stay	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 91 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	<i>L. reuteri</i> did not affect the incidence of hospital-acquired diarrhoeal disease. There was also no difference between the <i>L. reuteri</i> and placebo groups for any of the secondary outcomes, including adverse effects. Rotavirus vaccination status had no impact on the results.
Weizman Z, 2009 (abstract, follow-up of Weizman, 2005) Israel	To evaluate if day-care infants acquire a long-term protection against common infections, following a probiotic supplementation period.	R, DB, PC Follow-up after 12 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 66 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Bb-12: 69 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Control: 59	· Protection only observed during supplementation period · No long-term protection against common infections for any of the probiotics compared to control

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



AGE Management in Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
Dumitru IM, 2009 Romania	To study <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 as an adjunct to oral rehydration and antimicrobial therapy, on duration of acute diarrhoea in adults with HIV/AIDS	R, open 7 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 50 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced duration of diarrhoea in adults with HIV/AIDS compared to control</li><li>· <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 was well tolerated</li></ul>

Infection Protection in Infants and Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Maya-Barrios A, 2021</u> Mexico	To evaluate the safety and efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 5289 combined with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, as an adjuvant to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) in children with upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) aged 6 months to 5 years.	R, DB, PC, 10 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 35 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 35	Compared to placebo the supplement containing <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Days with fever</li><li>· Duration of symptoms</li><li>· Severity of sore throat</li><li>· Rhinorrhea</li><li>· Average cost per child</li><li>· Nasal congestion</li><li>· TNF-α</li></ul>
<u>Gutiérrez-Castrellón P, 2014</u> Mexico	Evaluate if daily administration of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 reduces the frequency and duration of diarrhoea episodes and respiratory tract infections (RTI) in Mexican day school children aged 6-36 months. A cost-effectiveness analysis was also made.	R, DB, PC 3 months of intervention, follow-up at 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 168 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 168	Compared to placebo: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced the frequency and duration of episodes of diarrhoea and respiratory tract infection at both 3 and 6 months</li><li>· The number of doctor visits, antibiotic use, absenteeism from day school and parental absenteeism from work were significantly reduced</li><li>· The use of <i>L. reuteri</i> was associated with a reduction of costs by 36 US dollars (USD) for each case of diarrhoea, and by 37 USD for each case of RTI</li></ul>
<u>Agustina R, 2012a</u> Indonesia	To investigate milk with low and regular calcium content, respectively, and the addition of probiotics ( <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 or <i>L. casei</i> CRL431) to milk with regular calcium content, on the incidence and duration of diarrhoea and acute respiratory infections in healthy Indonesian children, 1-6y old.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 124 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. casei</i> : 120 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Low calcium milk: 124 Regular calcium milk: 126	Only <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Incidence of diarrhoea in children with lower nutritional status, irrespective of definition of diarrhoea</li><li>· Incidence of diarrhoea in all children when diarrhoea was defined as ≥ 2 loose/liquid stools/24h instead of ≥ 3 loose/liquid stools/24h</li></ul> The interventions had no effect on incidence or duration of acute respiratory infection
<u>Agustina R, 2013</u> (substudy of the Agustina 2012 trial) Indonesia	To investigate the hypotheses that cow's milk with added probiotics <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 or <i>L. casei</i> CRL431 would improve growth and iron and zinc status of Indonesian children, whereas milk calcium alone would improve growth but reduce iron and zinc status. A 6-mo. randomized trial was conducted in low-socio-economic urban communities, in healthy children, 1-6y old.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 124 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. casei</i> : 120 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Low calcium milk: 124 Regular calcium milk: 126	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Changes in underweight, stunting, anaemia prevalence, and iron and zinc status were similar between groups.</li><li>· Regular milk calcium in itself did not affect growth or iron and zinc status.</li></ul> Compared with Regular calcium group: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· <i>L. casei</i> CRL 431 modestly improved monthly weight velocity.</li><li>· <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 modestly improved growth by increasing weight gain, changes in weight-for-age Z-score over 6 mo., and monthly weight and height velocity.</li></ul>
<u>Weizman Z, 2005</u> Israel	Prevention of common infections in day-care children 4-10 months old.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 68 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Bb-12: 73 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Control: 60	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced (compared to Bb-12 and control): <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Days with fever</li><li>· Need to consult doctor and need of antibiotics</li><li>· Absence from day-care</li></ul> Both probiotics significantly reduced: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Episodes with fever</li><li>· Episodes and days with diarrhoea</li></ul>
<u>Di Nardo G, 2014</u> Italy	The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in patients with cystic fibrosis, with mild-to-moderate lung disease and aged 6-29y (median age 18y), on the rate of respiratory exacerbations and of infections of the upper respiratory and the GI tracts. NOTE: The right designation of the probiotic strain of this trial is <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, not ATCC 55730 as stated in the paper.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 30	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Reduced the frequency of pulmonary exacerbations</li><li>· Reduced the number of upper respiratory tract infections = otitis</li></ul> The groups did not differ statistically in the mean number and duration of hospitalizations for pulmonary exacerbations and gastrointestinal infections. There was no effect on lung function (mean delta value of FEV1), faecal calprotectin concentration, and tested cytokines (tumour necrosis factor-α and interleukin-8) between the two groups.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled





\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Infection Protection in Infants and Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Wanke M, 2012</u> Poland	The efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in prevention of nosocomial diarrhoea in hospitalized children, 1-48 months old.	R, DB, PC During hospital stay	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 54 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 52	<i>L. reuteri</i> did not affect the incidence of hospital-acquired diarrhoeal disease.
<u>Urbanska M, 2016</u> Poland	The efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in prevention of nosocomial diarrhoea in hospitalized children, 1-48 months old. A repeat of Wanke's trial with a 10 times higher dose.	R, DB, PC During hospital stay	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 91 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	<i>L. reuteri</i> did not affect the incidence of hospital-acquired diarrhoeal disease. There was also no difference between the <i>L. reuteri</i> and placebo groups for any of the secondary outcomes, including adverse effects. Rotavirus vaccination status had no impact on the results.
<u>Georgieva M, 2015</u> Bulgaria	To evaluate the preventive effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on antibiotic-associated diarrhoea and <i>Clostridium difficile</i> -related infections in hospitalized children, 3-12 years old.	R, DB, PC Study product ingested during the antibiotic course and 7 days thereafter.  Follow-up at 21 days post-antibiotic treatment	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 49 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 48	The incidence of diarrhoea was unexpectedly low with only one case in each group. Hence, the study was underpowered to be able to detect any statistical differences between groups. There were no <i>CL. difficile</i> -related infections, and no differences between groups on proportion of subjects who were positive for <i>CL. difficile</i> toxin A and B at baseline and on day 21, respectively. There were no differences between groups on the incidence of other GI-related symptoms. The study products were well tolerated and there was no report of any adverse events.
<u>Savino F, 2015b</u> Italy	To evaluate the effects of early administration of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on microbial composition in faecal samples of newly hospitalized, exclusively formula-fed infants below 6 mo. of age. Infants given <i>L. reuteri</i> during at least one month preceding hospitalization were compared to matched controls not given any probiotic.	A case-control observational study	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 30	Compared to the control group: · Infants with previous consumption of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 (Lr) had significantly lower total counts of anaerobic Gram-neg. bacteria, <i>enterobacteriaceae</i> and enterococci. The Lr group had significantly higher total counts of anaerobic Gram-pos. bacteria. There was no difference in total counts of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria. · Infants of the Lr group were negative for atypical enteropathogenic <i>E. coli</i> , <i>Salmonella</i> spp., <i>Cronobacter sakazakii</i> and <i>Serratia odorifera</i> . · The Lr group had significantly less of <i>Hafnia alvei</i> and <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> .
Weizman Z, 2009 (abstract, substudy of Weizman, 2005) Israel	To evaluate if day-care infants acquire a long-term protection against common infections, following a probiotic supplementation period.	R, DB, PC Follow-up after 12 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 66 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Bb-12: 69 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Control: 59	· Protection only observed during supplementation period · No long-term protection against common infections for any of the probiotics compared to control
Oncel MY, 2015 Turkey	To compare the efficacy of orally administered <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 vs. the anti-fungal nystatin in prevention of fungal colonisation and invasive candidiasis in very low birth weight infants ≤1,500 g	R, open	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 150 (1 x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Nystatin: 150	Prophylactic <i>L. reuteri</i> was equal to nystatin in reduction of <i>Candida</i> colonisation and invasive candidiasis. Secondary outcomes, compared to nystatin <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: · frequency of proven sepsis · rates of feeding intolerance · duration of hospital stay None of the positive blood cultures grew <i>L. reuteri</i> . No other adverse events related to <i>L. reuteri</i> were noted.
<u>Romeo MG, 2011</u> Italy	To study effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> and another probiotic on <i>Candida</i> colonisation and of late-onset sepsis in premature newborns in intensive care. Neurological outcome at 12 months of age.	R, open 6 weeks or until discharged from intensive care	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 83 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) LGG: 83 (6x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Control: 83	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced the incidence of GI problems, need of antibiotics and halved the hospital stay, compared to both LGG and control group Both probiotics compared to control group: · Significantly reduced incidence of high faecal levels of <i>Candida</i>

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Infection Protection in Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<div>New!</div> <div><u>Forsgård R. 2023</u> Sweden</div>	To investigate if supplementation of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 increases the anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody response upon infection or vaccination.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 81 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 78	<i>L. reuteri</i> supplementation resulted in significantly increased SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG and IgA levels after >28 days post SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Also, a tendency towards increased CoV-2-specific IgG levels after SARS-CoV-2 infection was observed.
<div><u>Tubelius P. 2005</u> Sweden</div>	To study prevention of short-term illness, cold or GI infection, in healthy adults at a work place.	R, DB, PC 80 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 94 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 87	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced short-term sick leave due to cold or GI infection compared to placebo: 10.6% and 26.4%, respectively, reported sick-leave. Among the in total 53 shift-workers, the frequency was 0 vs. 33%.
<div><u>Di Nardo G. 2014</u> Italy</div>	The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in patients with cystic fibrosis, with mild-to-moderate lung disease aged 6-29y (median age 18y), on the rate of respiratory exacerbations and of infections of the upper respiratory and the GI tracts.  NOTE: The right designation of the probiotic strain of this trial is <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, not ATCC 55730 as stated in the paper.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 30	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · Reduced the frequency of pulmonary exacerbations · Reduced the number of upper respiratory tract infections = only otitis The groups did not differ statistically in the mean number and duration of hospitalizations for pulmonary exacerbations and gastrointestinal infections. There was no effect on lung function (mean delta value of FEV1), faecal calprotectin concentration, and tested cytokines (tumour necrosis factor-α and interleukin-8) between the two groups.
<div><u>Schröder C. 2015</u> Germany</div>	The effect of regular intake of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on the number of days of sick leave caused by respiratory and/or gastrointestinal diseases among male steelworkers.	R, DB, PC 90 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 79 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 80 Randomized: 242	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced the incidence of diarrhoea, which was reported on 0.60 days for subjects of the <i>L. reuteri</i> group vs. 1.33 days in the placebo group. There was no difference in primary outcome of number of sick days due to respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms. The drop-out rate of randomized subjects was 34%.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled





Management of Allergic Symptoms in Children and Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Miniello VL, 2010</u> Italy	To study if oral intake of <i>L. reuteri</i> could modify the cytokine production in the lung in 4-10 year old children with atopic dermatitis (AD) and non-allergic dermatitis.	R, DB, PC 8 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 26 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 25	· In AD patients only <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly increased the IFN-gamma production and decreased IL-4 levels in exhaled breath condensate. The Th2/Th1 cytokines quotient was thereby modified in a positive way. · No changes in clinical scores of eczema
<u>Miraglia del Giudice M, 2012</u> Italy	The effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> in children 6-14y with well-controlled asthma, on airway inflammation as measured by certain inflammatory parametaers, and clinically.	R, DB, PC 60 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 22 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 21	Compared to placebo <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced airway inflammation, shown as changed levels in exhaled breath condensate: · reduction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) · reduction of the cytokine IL-2 · increase of the cytokine IL-10 Clinical parameters, FEV1 and children's asthma control test (C-ACT), did not differ within or between groups during the treatment.
<u>Miraglia del Giudice M, 2016</u> Italy	To test the effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in combination with vitamin D, on airway inflammation in vit. D-deficient children (6-14y) with well-controlled asthma, and allergy to house dust mite. Primary outcome was bronchial inflammation and secondary outcomes were asthma control measured by questionnaire (Childhood Asthma Control Test [C-ACT]), and lung function evaluated by spirometry.	R, DB, PC 90 days + follow-up after another 30 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 14 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU + vit D. 400 IU/ 10µg) Placebo: 15	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> + vit. D significantly: · Reduced bronchial inflammation assessed by fractional exhaled nitric oxide · the effect was sustained during the follow-up month In addition, there was a reduced response to bronchodilation in actively-treated children. These findings were associated with significant increase in serum vit. D3 concentration in the active group.
Gromert N, 2009 (abstract) Sweden	Study on <i>L. reuteri</i> as an adjunct to standard treatment of atopic eczema in 3 months-4 year old children.	R, DB, PC 12 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 25	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: · Extension of the eczema · Itching and loss of sleep · Skin prick test reaction to peanut allergen Total IgE at 12 months was at steady state, while it was significantly increased in the placebo group
Cirillo AI, 2005 (abstract) Italy	To reduce risk of worsening of atopic eczema during period with cow's milk intake, in 3-5 year old children.	Open 3 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 8 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 7	· Atopic eczema relief in all children on <i>L. reuteri</i> · Control: all children got worse in their eczema

Allergy Prevention in Infants

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Huoman J, 2021</u> Sweden	To investigate epigenome-wide DNA methylation patterns from a subgroup of children from an on-going allergy prevention trial using pre- and postnatal combined <i>L. reuteri</i> and ω-3 fatty acid treatment.	Sub-group of children in on-going R, DB, PC trial.	1) <i>L. reuteri</i> + ω-3 PUFA: n = 18 2) probiotics + placebo: n = 16, 3) ω-3 + placebo: n = 15, 4) double placebo: n = 14	Prenatal <i>L. reuteri</i> and/or ω-3 fatty acid treatment resulted in hypermethylation and affected immune- and allergy-related pathways in neonatal T helper cells. The results show potential synergistic effects between the interventions.
<u>Forsberg A, 2020</u> Sweden	To investigate how maternal peripheral immunity is affected by pregnancy, and by probiotic and ω-3 fatty acid supplementation.	R, DB, PC From gestational week 20 until birth	1) <i>L. reuteri</i> + ω-3 PUFA: 22 2) ω-3 PUFA + placebo: 21 3) placebo + ω-3 PUFA: 22 4) placebo capsules + placebo oil: 23 ( <i>L. reuteri</i> : 1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU, 20 droplets×2 daily; ω-3 PUFA: 3840 mg)	Probiotic supplementation to the mother during the second half of pregnancy resulted in immunomodulatory effects among activated and resting Treg cells. Furthermore, several systemic immune modifying effects of pregnancy were observed.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Allergy Prevention in Infants

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Forsberg A, 2020</u> (Substudy of Abrahamsson, 2007) Sweden	To assess the effects of pre- and postnatal <i>L. reuteri</i> supplementation on DNA methylation in relation to immune maturation and allergy development.	R, DB, PC Women were supplemented from gestational week 36, children were supplemented for the first year of life	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 95 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	Maternal <i>L. reuteri</i> supplementation during pregnancy alters DNA methylation patterns in CD4+ T cells towards enhanced immune activation at birth, which may affect immune maturation and allergy development.
<u>Abrahamsson T, 2007</u> Sweden	Prevention of atopic eczema in infants 0-2 years old.	R, DB, PC 12 months + Substudy at 24 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 95 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	· Significantly fewer in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group with IgE-associated eczema at 2 years of age · Skin prick test reactivity to allergens was less common in the <i>L. reuteri</i> vs. the placebo group, significantly so for infants with mothers with allergies · The overall incidence of eczema was the same in the two groups at 2 years of age.
<u>Abrahamsson T, 2011</u> (Substudy of Abrahamsson 2007) Sweden	Prevention of allergy/atopic eczema in infants 0-2 years old.	R, DB, PC 12 months + follow-up at 24 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 95 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	Infants with faecal <i>L. reuteri</i> the first week of life had a less allergy-prone chemokine profile in their blood at 6 months of age.
<u>Abrahamsson TR, 2013</u> (Follow-up of Abrahamsson 2007) Sweden	In a study on prevention of allergy in newborns, <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 reduced the incidence of IgE-associated allergic disease in infancy. This treatment might therefore also reduce the risk of asthma and allergic rhino conjunctivitis in school age (at the age of 7), which this follow-up study set out to investigate. It also evaluated whether this supplementation was associated with any long-term side effects.	Original study: R, DB, PC	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 94 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 90  In the 2007 trial 232 infants were randomized and 188 completed	For the allergic disease outcomes there were no differences between groups: · The prevalence of asthma was 15% in the <i>L. reuteri</i> vs. 16% in placebo group · Allergic rhino conjunctivitis: 27% vs. 20% · Eczema: 21% vs. 19% · Skin prick test reactivity: 29% vs. 26%
Ceratto S, 2014 (abstract, follow-up of Savino 2010) Italy	If probiotic treatment for infant colic may prevent atopic diseases (cow's milk allergy and atopic dermatitis), asthma and migraine at the age of five, and effects on growth.	Original study: R, DB, PC	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 23	· The prevalence of atopic disorders was significantly lower in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group compared to placebo, with an odds ratio of 0.16. · Asthma was absent in both groups and there was one case of migraine, in the placebo group. · Growth was equal in the two groups, measured as BMIZ-score.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Other Disorders/Illnesses With Gastrointestinal Symptoms

Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Oliva S, 2012</u> Italy	Efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> administered as a daily rectal enema in children with distal ulcerative colitis, 6-18 years old. The disease was mild to moderate in activity at entry, and mesalazine was concomitant treatment	R, DB, PC 8 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 16 Placebo: 15 (1x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU)	Compared to baseline values, the Mayo Disease Activity Index was significantly decreased in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group compared to placebo at 8 weeks. Within the <i>L. reuteri</i> group the histological score of rectal epithelium was significantly decreased. The levels of proinflammatory cytokines were downregulated while the anti-inflammatory IL-10 was upregulated.

Diverticulitis

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Ojetti V, 2022</u> Italy	To evaluate the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 4659 in the treatment of acute uncomplicated diverticulitis. Primary outcome was reduction of abdominal pain (Visual Analogue Scale, VAS) and inflammatory markers (C-reactive protein, CRP, and calprotectin). Secondary outcome was duration of hospitalisation. All patients recieved fluids (Isolyte 2000 for 24h) and bowel rest (for 48h) upon admission to the hospital.	R, DB, PC 10 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 61 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 58	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) significantly reduced: · CRP level: 72h after admission the CRP value was reduced by 58.8% in the Lr group, and by 40% in the placebo group (p<0.05). · Calprotectin: 72h after admission the calprotectin level was reduced by 17% in the Lr group, and by 10.6% in the placebo group (p<0.05).  Both groups had a mean reduction of 4 points in VAS score 72 h after admission (from 7 to 3). · Mean hours of hospitalisation were 75.5 in the Lr group, and 83.5 in the placebo group.
<u>Petruzziello C, 2019</u> Italy	To evaluate the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 4659, in association with standard antibiotic therapy, in the treatment of acute uncomplicated diverticulitis. Primary outcome was reduction of abdominal pain (Visual Analogue Scale, VAS) and in C-reactive protein (CRP, marker of inflammation). Secondary outcome was duration of hospitalisation.	R, DB, PC 10 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> + antibiotics: 44 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo + antibiotics: 44	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) significantly reduced: · Abdominal pain: The mean delta reduction in abdominal pain during days 1 – 3 was 4.5 and 2.3 VAS points in the Lr and placebo group, respectively (p< 0.0001). Baseline value of 8.2 and 7.9, respectively (non-significant). The reduction in pain was significantly larger in the Lr group throughout the study period. · CRP: 72 h after admission, the reduction in CRP was 45.4 mg/L and 27.5 mg/L in the Lr and control group, respectively, (p<0.0001), from a baseline value of 68 and 71 mg/L, respectively (non-significant difference). · Mean hours of hospitalisation were 93 in the Lr group, and 113 in the placebo group (p<0.0001).

Lactose Intolerance in Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Ojetti V, 2010</u> Italy	To evaluate the effects of lactase, <i>L. reuteri</i> and placebo on reduction of H <sub>2</sub> breath excretion and gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms in lactose intolerant adults.	R, PC, open 10 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Lactase: 20 Placebo: 20	Compared to baseline <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: · H <sub>2</sub> breath excretion and GI symptoms Best effect was seen with lactase while placebo had no effect

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Therapy-Related Side Effects and Their Prevention

Antibiotic-Associated Side Effects in Children and Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Cimperman L, 2011</u> USA	Prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea or infectious in hospitalized adults, mean age 51y.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks + 2w follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 13 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 10	Significantly reduced incidence of diarrhoea: 7.7% in <i>L. reuteri</i> group and 50% in placebo
<u>Kołodziej M, 2018</u> Poland	Efficacy of drops with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in prevention of antibiotic-associated (AAD) or other diarrhoea in hospitalized children <18y. Episode of diarrhoea defined in three ways based on severity (≥3 or loose or watery stools/24h for a minimum of 48h or 24h, and ≥ 2 loose or watery stools/24h for a minimum of 24h). AAD was diarrhoea caused by <i>Clostridium difficile</i> or otherwise unexplained diarrhoea.	R, DB, PC  9 days (mean) in both groups = during antibiotic therapy (oral or intravenous). Follow-up at day 7 post-antibiotic.	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 123 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 124	· Incidence of AAD by the strictest definition was 11.4% and 6.5% in the <i>L. reuteri</i> and placebo group, respectively, and 13% and 13.7%, respectively, for AAD by any of the other two definitions. · Incidence and type of adverse events were similar · Median age of subjects was 4 mo, mean age was 26 mo
<u>Georgieva M, 2015</u> Bulgaria	To evaluate the preventive effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on antibiotic-associated diarrhoea and <i>Clostridium difficile</i> -related infections in hospitalized children, 3-12 years old.	R, DB, PC Study product ingested during the antibiotic course and 7 days thereafter. Follow-up at 21 days post-antibiotic treatment	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 49 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 48	The incidence of diarrhoea was unexpectedly low with only one case in each group. Hence, the study was underpowered to be able to detect any statistical differences between groups. There were no <i>Cl. difficile</i> -related infections, and no differences between groups on proportion of subjects who were positive for <i>Cl. difficile</i> toxin A and B at baseline and on day 21, respectively. There were no differences between groups on the incidence of other GI-related symptoms. The study products were well tolerated and there was no report of any adverse events.

Cancer Treatment-Related Diarrhoea

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
Aiello RA, 2008 (abstract) Italy	To study incidence of diarrhoea and abdominal pain in adults treated with chemotherapy for colon cancer, and safety of <i>L. reuteri</i> .	R, open 60 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 58 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 58	<i>L. reuteri</i> : · Significantly reduced incidence and severity of diarrhoea · Was safe to use

Proton Pump Inhibitor Side Effects in Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Belei O, 2018</u> Romania	To evaluate small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) in children with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) after treatment with proton pump inhibitor (PPI), with or without the addition of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938. Glucose hydrogen breath test (GHBT) was used for assessment of SIBO. Healthy children, who did not receive any treatment, served as comparison group for the GHBT.	Open 12 weeks GHBT was performed before and after 12 weeks of treatment.	<i>L. reuteri</i> : + PPI: 64 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo + PPI: 64 Healthy controls: 120	No GERD patient had SIBO before treatment. After 12 weeks of treatment, there was a significant difference in rate of SIBO: · Placebo + PPI: 56% (36/64) · <i>L. reuteri</i> + PPI: 6% (4/64) · Healthy controls: 5% (6/120) · The rate of GI symptoms related to SIBO was 64% in the placebo + PPI group vs. 0% in the <i>L. reuteri</i> + PPI, and the healthy control group, respectively.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Helicobacter pylori Infection in Children and Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Poonyam P, 2019</a> Thailand	Evaluate the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 + ATCC PTA 6475 (Gastrus) and quadruple therapy (bismuth, metronidazole, tetracycline, dex-lansoprazole) on <i>H. pylori</i> eradication in adults (mean age 54y).	R, DB, PC 7 and 14 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 7 d: 25 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo, 7 d: 25 <i>L. reuteri</i> 14 d: 25 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo, 14 d: 25	After 14 days of treatment, the eradication rate was 96% with <i>L. reuteri</i> Gastrus and 88% with placebo (non-significant difference). <i>L. reuteri</i> Gastrus significantly reduced the side-effects nausea/vomiting, abdominal discomfort and bitter taste after 14 days of treatment.
<a href="#">Moreno Márquez C, 2021</a> Spain	Evaluate the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 + ATCC PTA 6475 in reducing gastrointestinal symptoms during bismuth-containing quadruple therapy (bismuth, metronidazole, tetracycline and omeprazole) in <i>H. pylori</i> -positive adults. Gastrointestinal symptoms were assessed by Gastrointestinal Symptom Rating Scale (GSRS) score.	R, DB, PC Lr: 30 days Quadruple therapy: 10 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 40 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 40	Abdominal pain was reduced in 42% of the patients in the Lr group, compared to 19% in the placebo group (p<0.001). Abdominal distension was reduced in 25% of the patients in the Lr group, compared to 17 in the placebo group (p<0.001). However, there were no differences in overall or any subscale GSRS scores between the groups at the end of treatment.
<a href="#">Francavilla R, 2014</a> Italy	To assess the effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) DSM 17938 + ATCC PTA 6475 in <i>H. pylori</i> -infected and treatment naive, symptomatic adults, on eradication rates, and clinical and pathological parameters. Study products were administered before, during and after the 7-day treatment with omeprazole, amoxicillin and clarithromycin.	R, DB, PC 96 days w Lr and in 3 phases: d 0-28=pre-eradication, d 29-35=eradication therapy, d 36-96=follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 43 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 43	Compared with placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · Reduced the incidence of the side effect symptoms abdominal and epigastric pain, abdominal distension/bloating and diarrhoea · Reduced serum levels of the inflammatory marker gastrin-17
<a href="#">Emara MH, 2013</a> Egypt	To test if the addition of <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) DSM 17938 + ATCC PTA 6475 (Gastrus) to standard triple therapy (omeprazole, amoxicillin and clarithromycin) improves the eradication rates, and clinical and pathological parameters in <i>H. pylori</i> -infected and treatment naive, symptomatic adults, aged 18-60y.	R, DB, PC Lr: 4 weeks Triple therapy: 2 weeks Follow-up at 8 weeks after start of interventions	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 35 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 35	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> Gastrus significantly reduced: · GSRS (Gastrointestinal Symptom Rating Scale) · gastritis inflammatory cell score · diarrhoea and taste disorders The rate of eradication of <i>H. pylori</i> was 74.3% (26/35) and 65.7% (23/35) in the <i>L. reuteri</i> Gastrus and placebo group, respectively (non-significant difference).
<a href="#">Francavilla R, 2008</a> Italy	Evaluate if pre-treatment with <i>L. reuteri</i> may reduce GI symptoms and bacterial load and increase eradication rate in <i>H. pylori</i> (Hp)-infected dyspeptic adults.	R, DB, PC 28 days with <i>L. reuteri</i> followed by 10d Hp eradication therapy	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 20	<i>L. reuteri</i> for 4 weeks significantly: · Reduced the load of <i>H. pylori</i> · Improved GI health scores There was no additional effect on eradication rate
<a href="#">Lionetti E, 2006</a> Italy	Evaluate effects on side-effects of 10-day eradication therapy of <i>H. pylori</i> in children 3-18 years old.	R, DB, PC 20 days from start of eradication treatment	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 20	Eradication of <i>H. pylori</i> was equally successful in both groups: 17/20 in the probiotic group vs. 16/20 in the placebo group. There were no dropouts because of treatment side-effects.
<a href="#">Kotzev, 2015</a> Bulgaria	Evaluate if pre-treatment with the combination of omeprazole (PPI) and <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) (strains DSM 17938 + ATCC PTA 6475 (Gastrus) may reduce the bacterial load on its own, and increase eradication rate in <i>H. pylori</i> (Hp)-infected dyspeptic adults.	R, DB, PC 28 days of PPI + Lr. Thereafter 10-d, triple eradication therapy for those still positive for Hp. Follow-up at day 90 after initiation of intervention.	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU + omeprazole) Placebo + omeprazole: 28 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU + omeprazole)	Compared to baseline, there was a decline in the proportion of patients positive for Hp infection both one week after the end of the 28-day supplementation period and at the follow-up at day 90, but with no significant difference between groups. At day 90, compared to baseline, the overall presence and severity of GI symptoms had improved to the same extent in the two groups, measured by GSRS (Gastrointestinal Symptoms Rating Score).
<a href="#">Imase K, 2007</a> Japan	Evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) ATCC 55730 on infection load in non-symptomatic <i>H. pylori</i> -infected adults.	R, DB, PC 4 arms crossover 4 + 4 weeks	Lr → Placebo: 15 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo → Lr: 15 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Lr → Lr: 5 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo → placebo: 5	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced <i>H. pylori</i> bacterial load measured by urea breath test · The suppressive effect was sustained another 4 weeks in the group testing Lr first and then placebo
<a href="#">Ojetti V, 2012</a> Italy	Increase the eradication rate of <i>H. pylori</i> and reduce side-effects of 7 days of second line eradication treatment in adults	R, open 14 days + 6w follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 45 (3x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 45	<i>L. reuteri</i> supplementation significantly increased the eradication rate of <i>H. pylori</i> to 80% compared to 60% in the control group
<a href="#">Dore MP, 2016</a> Italy	Investigate if the eradication rate of <i>H. pylori</i> in adults is improved by <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, administered during the eradication therapy and for 10 days thereafter.	Open 10 days of eradication therapy, <i>L. reuteri</i> for 10+10 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 45 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	The rate of eradication was 93.3% (42/45). In 2/4 (50%) previously treated for <i>H. pylori</i> the infection was also eradicated. Side effects were reported in 8 patients: mild diarrhoea for a few days (5), and abdominal discomfort (3).

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled





Diabetes Type 2

Osteopenia

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Mobini R, 2017</a> Sweden	To evaluate metabolic effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, in standard or high dose, in adults with type 2 diabetes on insulin treatment. Primary outcome was changes in glycated hemoglobin, secondary outcomes were insulin sensitivity (assessed by glucose clamp), liver fat content, body composition, body fat distribution, faecal microbiota composition and serum bile acids.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 15 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> : 14 (1x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) Placebo: 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Compared to baseline, subjects in the high dose group exhibited increases in insulin sensitivity index (ISI) and serum levels of the secondary bile acid deoxycholic acid (DCA).</li><li>· Compared to placebo there was no difference in outcomes at the end of the study period.</li><li>· Post hoc analysis showed that subjects who responded with increased ISI after ingestion of <i>L. reuteri</i> had higher microbial diversity at baseline, and increased serum levels of DCA after supplementation. In addition, increases in DCA levels correlated with improved insulin sensitivity in the probiotic recipients.</li></ul>

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Nilsson AG, 2018</a> Sweden	To investigate if <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 6475 has an effect on bone loss in older women with low bone mineral density. Primary outcome was relative change in volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) after 12 months.	R, DB, PC 12 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 45 (1x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) Placebo: 45	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced bone loss compared to placebo (p=0.047). Change in vBMD was -0.83% in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group and -1.85% in the placebo group.
<a href="#">Li P, 2022</a> (Substudy of Nilsson 2018) Sweden	To characterize the gut microbiome composition and function as well as serum metabolome in good responders (GR) and poor responders (PR) to <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 6475 treatment as a secondary analysis. Results on bone density from this cohort are published in Nilsson et al. 2018).	R, DB, PC 12 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : (1x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) GR group: 10 PR group: 10	No significant difference in microbial composition at high taxonomic level between GR and PR groups at 12 months. However, at species level GR had a significant increase of SCFA-producing species, and lower abundance of <i>E. coli</i> than PR at 12 months.

Iron Deficiency Anaemia

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Manoppo J, 2019</a> Indonesia	To determine whether <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 plays a role in the absorption of iron preparations containing 300mg Sulfas Ferrous (SF) in children with iron deficiency anaemia.	R, quasi experimental, SB, controlled 14 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 34 (3x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) & 300mg SF Control: 32 300mg SF	Intervention with iron preparations and <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in children with iron deficiency anaemia leads to a higher increase in levels of Reticulocyte hemoglobin equivalent than does intervention with iron preparations only. <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 has a beneficial role in the absorption of iron from the intestinal mucosa.

Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Mazzone L, 2024</a> Italy	To evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 + ATCC PTA 6475 on the behavioral profiles of children, 2-8 years, with ASD.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 21 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 22	<i>L. reuteri</i> Gastrus did not affect the overall autism severity. However, it significantly improved social functioning.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled





Guidelines Supporting the use of BioGaia Probiotics

Author and Title	Description	Region	Indication	Recommendation	Comment
<u>Sanz M, 2020</u> Treatment of stage I–III periodontitis—The EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline	Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for periodontitis from the EFP.	Europe	Periodontitis	Suggests not to use probiotics as an adjunct to subgingival instrumentation.	The recommendation refers to probiotics in general. However, <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis is the only probiotic included in the analysis with proven clinical effect on pocket depth when used as an adjunct to subgingival instrumentation.
<u>Herrera D, 2023</u> Prevention and treatment of peri-implant diseases—The EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline	Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for prevention and treatment of peri-implant diseases from the EFP.	Europe	Peri-implant mucositis	In patients with peri-implant mucositis, the professionally guided self-administration of probiotics may be considered as adjunctive to PMPR.	The recommendation refers to probiotics in general. However, <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis is the only probiotic included in the analysis with proven clinical effect on bleeding on probing when used as an adjunct to submarginal instrumentation.
<u>Herrera D, 2023</u> Prevention and treatment of peri-implant diseases—The EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline	Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for prevention and treatment of peri-implant diseases from the EFP.	Europe	Peri-implantitis	Suggests not to use probiotics as an adjunct to submarginal instrumentation, in non-surgical peri-implantitis therapy.	

Abbreviations: EFP = European Federation of Periodontology, PMPR = professional mechanical plaque removal

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Gingivitis

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Schlagenhauf U, 2020</u> Germany	Investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis on gingival inflammation in healthy adults	R, DB, PC	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 36 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 36	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly improved bleeding of probing (primary outcome), as well as gingival index, plaque control record, probing pocket depth and probing attachment level (secondary outcomes).
<u>Schlagenhauf U, 2016</u> Germany	Influence of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on plaque control and gingival inflammation in pregnant women.	R, DB, PC During 3rd trimester and the first days after delivery	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 24 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 21	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis significantly reduced: · Plaque index · Gingival index There was no effect on the inflammation marker TNF-α (in serum).
<u>Bravo J, 2018</u> Chile	To evaluate the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges in the treatment of gingivitis in young adults (~19y).	R, DB, PC 3 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 15 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 15	Only the <i>L. reuteri</i> group had a significant reduction in number of sites with severe inflammation. However, both the groups had significant improvements in gingival index, plaque index and bleeding on probing.
<u>Sabatini S, 2017</u> Italy	Pilot trial to evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on gingivitis in diabetic patients (adults).	R, SB, open 30 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 40 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 40	Compared to control, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced: · Plaque index · Bleeding on probing
<u>Twetman S, 2009</u> Denmark	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis chewing gums on gingival inflammation and the levels of selected pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines in gingival crevicular fluid, in adults.	R, DB, PC 2 weeks + 2w follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 gums): 13 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> (1 gum) + placebo (1 gum): 13 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 gums): 12	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · Decreased bleeding on probing and reduced the volume of gingival crevicular fluid · Dose-dependently decreased proinflammatory oral cytokines
<u>Krasse P, 2006</u> Sweden	To study the effect of a probiotic chewing gum on gingivitis and dental plaque in adults, and the occurrence of the probiotic in saliva.	R, DB, PC 14 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> LR-1: 20 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> LR-2: 21 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 18	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced gingivitis and dental plaque in patients with moderate to severe gingivitis. Both strains were shown to colonize the saliva.
<u>Stensson M, 2014</u>  (follow-up of the population of Abrahamsson's prevention of allergy study of 2007) Sweden	To evaluate the effect on oral health, at age 9 years, of daily oral supplementation with the probiotic <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730, to mothers during the last month of gestation and to children throughout the first year of life.	R, SB, PC Multi-center Clinical and radiographic examination of the primary dentition and carious lesions, plaque and gingivitis were recorded. Saliva and plaque samples were analysed for mutans streptococci (MS) and lactobacilli (LB). Salivary secretory IgA (slgA) was determined.	<i>L. reuteri</i> (5 drops/d): 60 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (5 drops/d): 53 Attrition rate of 40% compared to the initial 188 infants of Abrahamsson's trial (2007). Loss to follow-up was mainly due to family move from the area.	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · Increased the proportion of caries free children: 82% vs. 58% · Decreased the prevalence of approximal caries: 0.67 vs. 1.53 tooth surfaces · Decreased the number of sites with gingivitis No statistically significant intergroup differences were found with respect to mutans streptococci or lactobacilli in saliva or plaque. There was a non-significant trend towards higher slgA in the probiotic group compared to placebo.
<u>Iniesta M, 2012</u> Spain	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on clinical and microbiological outcomes in adults with gingivitis.	R, DB, PC 8 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 20	<i>L. reuteri</i> reduced numbers of selected periodontal pathogens in the subgingival microbiota, but without any associated clinical impact.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Gingivitis

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Hallström H, 2013</u> Sweden	Effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on experimental gingivitis, specific cytokines of gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) and supragingival plaque microbiota in healthy, adult females.	R, DB, PC, crossover 3 weeks, separated by 2-week run-in and washout periods.  Participants refrained from cleaning four of their lateral teeth during the experimental periods	18 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> : 18 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU, twice/d) Placebo: 18	· All subjects presented a local plaque accumulation and all but one developed manifest gingivitis at the test sites during the intervention periods. There were no differences in clinical parameters between the two types of test products. · The volume of GCF increased in both groups but was statistically significant only after the placebo period. · The concentrations of IL1-β and IL-18 increased significantly, while IL-8 and MIP-1β decreased. No differences were displayed between test and placebo. · The microbial composition did not differ between the groups.
Tran LL, 2012 (abstract) USA	Effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis in healthy adults where gingivitis was experimentally induced by refraining from all oral hygiene measures.	R, DB, PC 14 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 26 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 27	In both groups the gingival and plaque indices increased significantly compared to baseline values, and to the same extent. <i>L. reuteri</i> was detected in the saliva of 40% in the probiotic group, while it was absent in the entire placebo group.

Periodontitis

	Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
New!	<u>Ghazal M, 2023</u> Pakistan	To compare probiotics and antibiotics as adjuvant treatment to NSPT in smokers with stage III periodontitis.	R, DB, PC 30 days Follow-up at 3 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 33 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Antibiotics: 33 (Amoxicillin and metronidazole for 7 days)	Significantly improved PD, BOP, PI and GI in both groups at the 3-month follow-up.
	<u>Laleman I, 2020</u> Belgium	To evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges as an adjunct to mechanical debridement on residual pockets in patients with periodontitis.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks with follow-up at 24 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 20 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 19	At 24 weeks, the overall probing pocket depth in the <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis group was significantly lower compared to the placebo group (p=0.034). This difference was even more pronounced in moderate (4-6mm) and deep (≥7mm) pockets.
	<u>Pelekos G, 2020</u> China	Sub-analysis of data from a previous study evaluating the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis as an adjunct to Scaling and Root Surface Debridement (S/RSD). This study evaluated changes at molars with deep pockets (PPD≥5mm).	R, DB, PC 28 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 21 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 20	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly improved CAL and conferred a higher probability of shallow residual pocket depth.
	<u>Grusovin MG, 2019</u> Italy	Pilot study to evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis as an adjunct to Full Mouth Guided Biofilm Therapy (FM-GBT) in patients with severe and advanced forms of periodontitis (stage III and IV, grade C).	R, DB, PC 3 months + 3 months wash-out + 3 months + 3 months washout (1 year in total)	<i>L. reuteri</i> : (2 tabl/d): 10 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 10	<i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis significantly reduced mean probing pocket depth at all time-points (3, 6, 9, and 12 months), sites with BOP at 6 and 9 months, and increased probing attachment level at 6 months, compared to placebo. No complications or adverse events were reported.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Periodontitis

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Teughels W, 2013</a> Turkey	To evaluate the effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on clinical and microbiological parameters, as an adjunct to scaling and root planing (SRP) in adults with chronic periodontitis (mean age 46y).	R, DB, PC 12 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 15 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 15	At week 12, all clinical parameters were significantly reduced in both groups. Compared to placebo, subjects in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group showed significant effects on: · More pocket depth reduction and attachment gain in moderate and deep pockets · Number of subjects with a high and low risk for disease progression, respectively · Number of subjects in need of surgery on ≥3 teeth · Larger reduction in counts of <i>Porphyromonas gingivalis</i> in sub-, supragingival and saliva samples at 12 weeks
<a href="#">Vicario M, 2013</a> Spain	Effect of short-term use of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on initial to moderate chronic periodontitis in non-smoking and otherwise healthy adults.	R, DB, PC 30 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 10 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 9	<i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis significantly decreased: · Plaque index · Bleeding on probing · Pocket probing depths of 5-6 mm and ≥6 mm All indices increased in the placebo group, although non-significantly. No adverse effects were recorded in any of the groups.
<a href="#">Vivekananda MR, 2010</a> India	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on chronic periodontitis, alone or in combination with scaling and root planing (SRP).	R, DB, PC Day 0-21 = SRP only, day 22-42 study product added	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 15 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 15	· <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis alone and in combination with SRP significantly inhibited chronic periodontitis inflammation, plaque formation and counts of oral pathogens · The combined treatments significantly reduced clinical attachment level and probing pocket depth
<a href="#">Tekce M, 2015</a> Turkey	Investigation of short- and long-term effects on clinical and microbiological parameters of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis (Lr) as an adjunct to initial treatment with scaling and root planing in subjects with chronic periodontitis, aged 35-50 years. The colonisation ability of <i>L. reuteri</i> in the periodontal pockets was also assessed.	R, DB, PC 3 weeks, with follow-up at days 21, 90, 180 and 360	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 20 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 20	Significant effects for <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis compared to placebo were shown as: · Consistently greater reductions in pocket depths (primary outcome) from baseline to days 21, 90, 180 and 360 · Significantly fewer patients in need of surgery at day 360. The proportion of teeth in need of surgery at day 360 was 0.8% and 41.2%, respectively After one year, the total viable cell counts of bacteria and proportions of obligate anaerobes had returned to the baseline levels in both groups after initial significant reductions. In the probiotic group Lr was found in subgingival pockets in 6 and 11 patients on day 21 and 90, respectively. All patients completed until follow-up at day 360, and without any adverse reactions.
<a href="#">Ince G, 2015</a> (subgroup analysis of Tekce 2015 trial) Turkey	Investigation of short- and long-term effects on clinical and micro-biological parameters of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis (Lr) as an adjunct to initial treatment with scaling and root planing in subjects with chronic periodontitis, aged 35-50 years.	R, DB, PC 3 weeks, with follow-up at days 21, 90, 180, 360	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 15 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 15	Compared to the placebo group, subjects in the <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis group had significant changes in the inflammation-associated markers in the gingival crevicular fluid up to day 180: there was a decrease in the level of MMP-8 (matrix metalloproteinases-8) and increase in the TIMP-1 (tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1). For the clinical markers, the effect compared to placebo was sustained up to day 360.
<a href="#">Szkardakiewicz AK, 2014</a> Poland	To assess if supplementation with <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis (Lr) can improve clinical and inflammatory parameters in subjects with moderate chronic periodontitis, aged 31-46y, and previously untreated for periodontitis.	Open. Lr was admin. from two weeks (for an unknown period of time) after professional cleaning and treatment to subjects with low response to the professional treatment. Subjects with good clinical response at this time point remained as a control group.	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 24 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 14	18/24 (75%) of subjects given <i>L. reuteri</i> evaluated 2 weeks after stopping the use of the probiotic, had significant decrease in severity of periodontitis by the clinical measures bleeding on probing, pocket probing depth and clinical attachment level. The levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-17 in the crevicular fluid were also significantly decreased in these 18 subjects. The remaining 6 of the intervention group showed no response, and their levels of the different parameters were similar to the 14 control group subjects at the same time point.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Peri-Implant Mucositis and Peri-Implantitis

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Alqahtani F, 2021</a> Pakistan	To compare the efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis with antibiotics as an adjunct to mechanical debridement in the treatment of peri-implant mucositis. Primary outcomes were plaque index, bleeding on probing, probing depth and crestal-bone-loss, recorded at baseline and at 3-and 6-months follow-up.	R, Open Lr: 21 days Antibiotics: 7 days	1) MD + <i>L. reuteri</i> (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) 2) MD + antibiotics 3) MD	At 3 months, peri-implant plaque index, bleeding on probing and probing depth were significantly lower in the probiotic group compared to the placebo group. However, at 6 months no differences were seen between the groups.
<a href="#">Alqahtani F, 2019</a> Pakistan	To evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis as adjuvant to mechanical debridement (MD) in the treatment of peri-implant mucositis in smoking and non-smoking patients.	R, open 3 weeks + follow-up at 3- and 6 months	80 in total. 40 smokers and 40 non-smokers <i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 40 (20 in each group) (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	In non-smokers, the mean pocket depth, plaque index and bleeding on probing were significantly lower in the subjects that received <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis as adjunct to MD, compared to those who received MD alone at the 3 months' follow-up. At the 6 months' follow-up, there were no sign differences between the groups. In smokers, there were no significant differences at any of the time points.
<a href="#">Peña M, 2019</a> Spain	To evaluate the additional effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis after mechanical debridement and 0.12% chlorhexidine in the treatment of peri-implant mucositis, compared to mechanical debridement and chlorhexidine alone.	R, DB, PC 1 month + 3 months follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 25	The administration of <i>L. reuteri</i> did not provide any additional effect on clinical or microbiological parameters after treatment with mechanical debridement and 0.12% chlorhexidine.
<a href="#">Galofré M, 2018</a> Spain	To evaluate the clinical and microbiological effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis as adjuvant to non-surgical mechanical therapy in implants with mucositis or peri-implantitis.	R, DB, PC 1 month + 2 months follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 22 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 22	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly decreased probing pocket depth in implants with mucositis or peri-implantitis. In addition, bleeding on probing decreased in implants with peri-implantitis, and general bleeding on probing in patients with mucositis. <i>L. reuteri</i> had limited effect on the peri-implant microbiota, although a significant decrease of was found in implants with mucositis.
<a href="#">Tada H, 2018</a> Japan	To evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis, as an adjunct to antibiotics, on clinical and microbiological parameters in patients with mild to moderate peri-implantitis.	R, DB, PC 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 15 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 15	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced modified bleeding index compared to placebo · Significant improvements in probing pocket depth in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group, but not in the placebo group · No significant differences in bleeding on probing. However, number of patients with high bleeding on probing scores were fewer in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group · No significant differences in bacterial numbers
<a href="#">Hallström H, 2016</a> Sweden	To investigate if <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis, administered as oil and lozenges, has any additive effect to mechanical treatment on clinical parameters, microbiota and crevicular fluid around implants with peri-implant mucositis, in adults 24-85y old	R, DB, PC 3 months Topical oil was applied at the baseline cleaning session, the subjects thereafter used the study lozenges	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl): 22 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl): 24 Follow-up at 6 mo.	<i>L. reuteri</i> did not add any benefit to conventional therapy in this study: all clinical variables improved over a 6-month period in both groups. The study groups harboured low-to-moderate levels of the main pathogens associated with periodontitis, and a single topical application of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis oil, followed by a daily oral administration of lozenges, did not affect the profile of the subgingival microbiota. The levels of inflammatory mediators IL-1β, IL-8, CCL5 and TNF-α were reduced by 40–50% compared with baseline after 4 weeks in both groups, with no difference between them.
<a href="#">Flichy-Fernández AJ, 2015</a> Spain	To assess clinical and immune system effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis in edentulous adult patients with tooth implants, comparing a group with healthy implants to a group with peri-implant mucositis at one or more implants.	R, DB, PC crossover 1 mo. with active product, followed by 7 mo. of washout period, then 1 mo. with placebo product and 7 mo. of follow-up	A) <i>L. reuteri</i> + healthy implants (1 tabl): 22 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) B) <i>L. reuteri</i> + peri-implant mucositis (1 tabl): 12	After 1 month with <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis, the decreases in plaque, probing depth, gingival index and crevicular fluid were significantly greater than with placebo in both group A and B. The effects were, however, more pronounced in group B, who had peri-implant mucositis. The pro-inflammatory immune parameters IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 were all improved after the probiotic supplementation, but to a greater extent in the peri-implantitis group.
Flichy-Fernández AJ, 2012 (abstract) Spain	Effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on counts of periodontal pathogens in adults with 1-2 dental implants and in comparison with teeth in the same individual.	R, DB, PC, crossover 28 days per product	30 in total <i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 30	Compared to placebo the counts of <i>P. gingivalis</i> , <i>T. denticola</i> and of total bacterial load were significantly reduced in dental implants. In the teeth there was a significant reduction in counts of <i>T. forsythia</i> , <i>T. denticola</i> and total bacterial load.
Ata-Ali J, 2012 (abstract) Spain	Effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on counts of periodontal pathogens at dental implants in smoking and non-smoking adults.	Open 28 days	54 in total, 37 non-smokers and 17 smokers <i>L. reuteri</i> : 54 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	In both smokers and non-smokers <i>L. reuteri</i> reduced the counts of <i>T. forsythia</i> , <i>P. gingivalis</i> and <i>T. denticola</i> at the implants. <i>A. actinomycetemcomitans</i> was not detected in any group. Total bacterial load was reduced in non-smokers but not in smokers.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Caries-Associated Risk Factors in Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Alamoudi NM, 2018</u> Saudi Arabia	To evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on caries-associated salivary bacterial counts (mutans streptococci and lactobacilli), dental plaque accumulation, and salivary buffer capacity in preschool children (3–6y).	R, DB, PC 28 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 90 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 88	<i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced mutans streptococci and lactobacilli, compared to placebo. However, there was no difference in plaque accumulation or buffer capacity between the groups.
<u>Cannon M, 2013</u> USA	To evaluate and compare micro-biological anti-caries effects of two probiotics: PerioBalance (=L. reuteri Prodentis) lozenges (Lr) and EvoraKids chewable tablets (EvK, blend of three streptococci strains), in children aged 6–12y and with moderate to high risk of caries. Both healthy and medically compromised children were included.	R, open 28 days with Lr 30 days with EvK Evaluation 8 weeks after start of intervention	<i>L. reuteri</i> (1 tabl/d): 30 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) probiotic mix* (2 tabl/d): 30  * Str. uberis KJ2, Str. oralis KJ3, Str. rattus JH145 (EvoraKids, >100 million cfu)	Both probiotics suppressed the level of mutans streptococci and lactobacilli, compared to baseline. The difference between the two probiotics was non-significant. (The CRT (Caries Risk Test) Bacteria Kit was applied for micro-biological evaluations. It allows simultaneous determination of mutans streptococci and lactobacilli counts in saliva by means of selective agars.)
<u>Cildir S, 2012</u> Turkey	To study effects on salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli in 4-12y old children with cleft lip/palate by use of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis drops.	R, DB, PC, crossover 25 days per product	19 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> : 19 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 19	<i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis drops did not reduce the salivary counts of mutans streptococci or total lactobacilli.
<u>Keller MK, 2014</u> Denmark	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis on early caries lesions in adolescents, aged 12-17 years, as measured by quantitative light-induced fluorescence.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 19 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 17	There were no statistically significant differences in fluorecence values and lesion area between the groups, neither at baseline, nor at the follow-up. Compared to baseline, there was a significant decrease in fluorescence at 12 weeks in the test group but not in the placebo group.

Caries-Associated Risk Factors in Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Alforaidi S, 2021</u> Sweden	Evaluate the effect of drops containing <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis on plaque pH and the number of <i>S. mutans</i> and lactobacilli in orthodontic patients.	*R, DB, PC 3 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 13 Placebo: 14 (rinse w 5 drops diluted in 1 ml wter, 2x/d)	Significant increase in plaque pH at three weeks in the probiotic group (p<0.05), while insignificant changes in the pH value were found for the placebo group in comparison to baseline (p > 0.05). No difference in the number of <i>S. mutans</i> and lactobacilli between the groups.
<u>Caglar E, 2006</u> Turkey	To study the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 in two non-dairy delivery systems, on mutans streptococci and lactobacilli in adults.	R, DB, PC 3 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> drinking straw: 30 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo drinking straw: 30 <i>L. reuteri</i> chewable tablet: 30 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo chewable tablet: 30	<i>L. reuteri</i> delivered in a drinking straw or as a chewable tablet significantly reduced the counts of mutans streptococci compared to placebo.
<u>Caglar E, 2007</u> Turkey	To compare the effect of chewing gums with xylitol or <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis, or a combination thereof, on counts of mutans streptococci and lactobacilli in the saliva of young adults.	R, DB, PC 3 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (6x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> + xylitol: 20 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Xylitol: 20	· Three weeks’ daily consumption of either 3 <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis chewing gums or 6 xylitol chewing gums reduced the counts of mutans streptococci · Total lactobacilli levels were unaffected
<u>Caglar E, 2008</u> Turkey	To evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> in young adults with high counts thereof.	R, DB, PC 10 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 10 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 10	· <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly reduced the counts of <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> · Total number of lactobacilli was unaffected

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Caries-Associated Risk Factors in Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<u>Gizani S, 2016</u> Greece	To evaluate the effect of daily intake of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis on white spot lesion (WSL) formation as well as on salivary lactobacilli (LB) and mutans streptococci (MS) counts, in patients undergoing orthodontic treatment with fixed appliances.	R, DB, PC 17 months with start 6 months after bonding	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 42 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 43	There were no differences in the incidence of WSL between the groups at debonding. The levels of salivary LB were significantly reduced in both groups at the time of debonding compared with baseline, while no alterations of the MS counts were unveiled. The patients had generally a neglected oral hygiene, both at baseline and at the follow-up.
<u>Marttinen A, 2012</u> Finland	Effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges and tablets with <i>Lactobacillus</i> GG (LGG) on the production of lactic acid in supragingival dental plaque. Detection rate of probiotic strains, and counts of total lactobacilli and mutans streptococci in dental plaque.	R, DB, crossover 2 weeks per product	13 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> : 13 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) LGG: 13 (2 tablets per day)	Lactic acid production in plaque was unaffected after use of either two probiotics for 2 weeks. <i>L. reuteri</i> was detected more frequently in dental plaque than LGG. Mutans streptococci levels were unchanged during both treatments, comparing baseline and after two weeks. Total lactobacilli in plaque were increased during use of <i>L. reuteri</i> but non-significantly so during LGG use.
<u>Keller MK, 2012b</u> Sweden + Denmark	The effect on regrowth of oral bacteria after a 3-day full-mouth disinfection with chlorhexidine in young adults with moderate to high salivary counts of <i>S. mutans</i> .	R, DB, PC 6 weeks + 6 weeks follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 32 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 30	The intake of lozenges with <i>L. reuteri</i> did not affect the regrowth rate of salivary mutans streptococci after full-mouth disinfection with chlorhexidine, nor the counts of other bacteria associated with oral health.
<u>Keller MK, 2012c</u> Denmark	To study the effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis on lactic acid formation in supragingival dental plaque and changes in counts of <i>S. mutans</i> and total lactobacilli in young, healthy adults with moderate to high counts of salivary mutans streptococci.	R, DB, PC, crossover 2 weeks per product and 3-week washout between the two periods	18 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> (3 tabl/d): 18 (6x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (3 tabl/d): 18	There was no increase in plaque acidity after use of <i>L. reuteri</i> for two weeks. Scores for growth of <i>S. mutans</i> remained the same within groups, while total lactobacilli increased significantly during the test period.
<u>Nikawa H, 2004</u> Japan	To investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730, delivered in yoghurt, on mutans streptococci and lactobacilli in young healthy adults.	R, PC, crossover, 2 weeks per product	40 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> : 40 (CFU not stated) Placebo: 40	· Reduction of the counts of <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> in both groups · In the group with <i>L. reuteri</i> during the first test period, the inhibiting effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> was sustained also during the placebo period
<u>Romani Vestman N, 2013</u> (additional results of the study by Keller et al. 2012b) Sweden + Denmark	To determine the prevalence of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis’ strains DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289 in saliva during and after a 6-week intervention preceded by full-mouth disinfection with chlorhexidine, compared with placebo, and investigate whether the persistence of these probiotic strains affected the regrowth of mutans streptococci (MS) in young, healthy adults.	R, DB, PC 6 weeks follow-up at 3 and 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 31 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 28	The strain <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 was detected in 60–70% of test group subjects during intervention, but it was cultivable in only a few individuals after termination of the intervention. The presence of DNA from <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in saliva seemed to delay the regrowth of caries-associated MS.
<u>Stensson M, 2014</u> (follow-up of the population of Abrahamsson’s prevention-of-allergy study of 2007) Sweden	To evaluate the effect on oral health, at age 9 years, of daily oral supplementation with the probiotic <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730, to mothers during the last month of gestation and to children throughout the first year of life.	R, SB, PC Multi-center Clinical and radiographic examination of the primary dentition and carious lesions, plaque and gingivitis were recorded. Saliva and plaque samples were analysed for mutans streptococci (MS) and lactobacilli (LB). Salivary secretory IgA (sIgA) was determined.	<i>L. reuteri</i> (5 drops/d): 60 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (5 drops/d): 53 Attrition rate of 40% compared to the initial 188 infants of Abrahamsson’s trial (2007). Loss to follow-up was mainly due to family move from the area.	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: · Increased the proportion of caries free children: 82% vs. 58% · Decreased the prevalence of approximal caries: 0.67 vs. 1.53 tooth surfaces · Decreased the number of sites with gingivitis No statistically significant intergroup differences were found with respect to mutans streptococci or lactobacilli in saliva or plaque. There was a non-significant trend towards higher sIgA in the probiotic group compared to placebo.
<u>Marttinen A, 2012</u> Finland	Effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges and tablets with <i>Lactobacillus</i> GG (LGG) on the production of lactic acid in supragingival dental plaque. Detection rate of probiotic strains, and counts of total lactobacilli and mutans streptococci in dental plaque.	R, DB, crossover 2 weeks per product	13 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> : 13 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) LGG: 13 (2 tablets per day)	Lactic acid production in plaque was unaffected after use of either two probiotics for 2 weeks. <i>L. reuteri</i> was detected more frequently in dental plaque than LGG. Mutans streptococci levels were unchanged during both treatments, comparing baseline and after two weeks. Total lactobacilli in plaque were increased during use of <i>L. reuteri</i> but non-significantly so during LGG use.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Immune Parameters in Saliva

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Ericson D, 2013</a> Sweden	To investigate whether ingestion of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis could influence salivary IgA levels, specific anti-mutans streptococci IgA levels and specific antibodies towards the ingested probiotic bacterium.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks + follow-up 1 month there-after	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 gums/d): 11 (2x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 gums/d): 12	The total level of salivary IgA increased significantly within the test group. Specific IgA towards the ingested <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 5289, as well as against <i>S. mutans</i> and <i>S. sobrinus</i> , decreased in the test group and the levels tended to return to pre-treatment values after the 4-week washout period. No changes were seen in the control group during the trial.
<a href="#">Jørgensen MR, 2016</a> Denmark	To evaluate the effect of daily ingestion of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis on the levels of secretory IgA (sIgA) and the cytokines interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and IL-10 in whole saliva of healthy young adults, aged 18-32y.	R, DB, PC, crossover 3 weeks of intervention with 3 weeks of washout between, follow-up 3 weeks post-intervention	41 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 41 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 41	No significant differences in the concentrations of salivary sIgA or cytokines were recorded between the <i>L. reuteri</i> and placebo interventions or between baseline and 3 weeks post-intervention levels. No side- or adverse effects were reported.
<a href="#">Braathen G, 2017</a> Denmark (substudy of Jørgensen 2016)	Saliva from the subjects of the Jørgensen 2016 trial, who ingested <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis, was further analysed for the presence of <i>L. reuteri</i> , the concentration of total protein, salivary IgA and selected cytokines. Results were compared between individuals who harbored <i>L. reuteri</i> after the probio-tic intervention (PCR-positive) and those who displayed sub-detection levels (PCR-negative).	R, DB, PC, crossover 3 weeks of intervention with 3 weeks of washout between, follow-up 3 weeks post-intervention	41 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 41 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 41	At baseline, 27% of the individuals displayed presence of <i>L. reuteri</i> and 42% were positive immediately after the three-week probiotic intervention. Those with <i>L. reuteri</i> in saliva had significantly higher concentrations of salivary IgA and higher %IgA/protein ratio at the termination of the probiotic intake compared with subjects with non-presence of <i>L. reuteri</i> . No differences in the cytokine levels were observed.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Other Oral Health Studies

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Keller MK, 2012a</a> Denmark	To evaluate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis chewing gums on oral malodour.	R, DB, PC, crossover, 14 days per product	25 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> (2 gums/d): 25 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 gums/d): 25	The probiotic chewing gums significantly decreased oral malodour assessed by organoleptic scores after the probiotic period compared to the placebo gum period. There was no effect on volatile sulphur compounds.
<a href="#">Keller MK, 2018</a> Denmark	A pilot study to investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on recurrent candidiasis in oral lichen planus patients.	R, DB, PC 16 weeks + 36 weeks follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> (3 tabl/d): 10 (6x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/day): 13	No difference between the groups during the intervention or follow-up in terms of recurrent oral candidiasis. The study experienced recruitment problems and was therefore underpowered.
<a href="#">Kraft-Bodi E, 2015</a> Sweden	To investigate the effect of a daily intake of the probiotic <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis on the prevalence and counts of oral <i>Candida</i> in frail elderly patients living in nursing homes, and aged 60-102 years and mean age 88y.	R, DB, PC Multi-center 12 weeks Study product was taken at the same time as medicines, morning and early evening	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 84 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 90	Compared to placebo, the <i>L. reuteri</i> group had a statistically significant reduction in the prevalence of high <i>Candida</i> counts (primary outcome), and the difference was statistically significant in both saliva and plaque (P < 0.05). No significant differences between the groups were noted concerning clinical signs of gingivitis, i.e. the levels of supragingival plaque or bleeding on probing. No adverse events related to the study products were reported.
<a href="#">Romani Vestman N, 2015</a> Sweden	To assess the impact on saliva and tooth biofilm microbiota composition and species richness of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis ingestion for four weeks, in healthy adult volunteers, aged 20–66.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks follow-up at 1 and 6 mo. after termination of intervention	<i>L. reuteri</i> 2 tabl/d): 21 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· The microbiota composition shifted but species richness remained unaffected</li><li>· The shift normalized within 1 month after terminating exposure</li><li>· The <i>L. reuteri</i> strains were detected in approximately 70% of the participants during daily administration and in approx. 24% at the 1-month follow-up</li></ul>
<a href="#">Twetman S, 2018</a> Denmark	Pilot study to investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges, together with <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis topical oil, on oral wound healing.	R, DB, PC, crossover 1-week run-in period. Biopsy taken with a standardized punch, followed by 8 days intervention. 4-week wash-out period, all procedures were repeated a second time..	10 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d) + topical oil (1 drop/d): 10 (tabl. 4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU; oil, 4x10 <sup>7</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d) + topical oil (1 drop/d): 10	Tendency of improved wound healing in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group at the 2-and 5-day check-ups, but not significant compared to placebo. Higher, but non-significant expressions of TNF superfamily ligands and IL-8 in the probiotic group. The salivary levels of oxytocin were significantly lower (p<0.05) in the placebo group at the 8-day follow-up.
<a href="#">Wälivaara D-Å, 2019</a> Sweden	Investigation of the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on oral wound healing, swelling, pain and discomfort after surgical removal of mandibular third molars in adults above 18y. A diary was filled out 14 days post-operatively by patients to record pain, swelling, any sleep disturbance, sick leave from work, use of analgesics, adverse events or side effects.	R, DB, PC 2 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : (3 tabl/d): 30 (6x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (3 tabl/d): 31	On day 14, compared to placebo: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Significant reduction in Lr patients' self-reported data on sense of swelling, number of nights with disturbed sleep and days with sick-leave from work (p&lt;0.05).</li><li>· No difference between groups in regard to objective wound healing scores, concentration of oxytocin in saliva and growth of specific bacteria in wound exudate.</li><li>· No side effects or adverse events were reported</li></ul>
<a href="#">Pedersen AML, 2019</a> Denmark	Pilot trial to investigate the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on recurrent aphthous ulcers in adults aged 18-30y, evaluated by Ulcer Severity Score (USS) and subjective pain reported by a Visual Analogue Pain Scale.	R, DB, PC 90 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> (2 tabl/d): 10 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo (2 tabl/d): 9	Day 90, end of intervention: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Tendency to greater improvement (difference in lesions by USS) compared to placebo (p&lt;0.07).</li><li>· Significant improvement in USS within the <i>L. reuteri</i> group only.</li><li>· Subjective pain score was improved but without difference between groups.</li><li>· No report on any side effects or adverse events.</li></ul>
<a href="#">Sinkiewicz G, 2010</a> Sweden	To investigate the presence of <i>L. reuteri</i> in saliva after daily use of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis chewing gum, and the effect on plaque index and supra- and subgingival microbiota, in healthy adults.	R, DB, PC 12 weeks + 4w follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 11 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Both strains in <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis were found in the saliva in the test group after 1 week, but were washed out after cessation of chewing gum usage</li><li>· Plaque index did not change in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group while it increased significantly in the placebo group</li><li>· <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis had no significant effect on the composition of the supra- or subgingival microbiota</li></ul>

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Meta-analyses on Probiotics in Oral Health

Reference	Indication	Effect of probiotics	No. Prodentis studies of total
<a href="#">Laleman I, et al. 2014</a>	Caries	In favor of probiotics	5 of 12
<a href="#">Meng N, et al. 2023</a>	Caries	In favor of probiotics	2 of 17
<a href="#">Shi J, et al. 2023</a>	Caries	In favor of probiotics	3 of 43
<a href="#">Gruner D, et al. 2016</a>	Caries and periodontitis	In favor of probiotics	16 of 50
<a href="#">Akram Z, et al. 2020</a>	Gingivitis	Weak support for probiotics	2 of 2
<a href="#">Huang N, et al. 2022</a>	Halitosis	In favor of probiotics	1 of 7
<a href="#">Yoo JI, et al. 2019</a>	Halitosis	In favor of probiotics	1 of 3
<a href="#">Ai R, et al. 2017</a>	Oral candidiasis	In favor of probiotics	1 of 3
<a href="#">Hu, et al. 2019</a>	Oral candidiasis	In favor of probiotics	1 of 4
<a href="#">Mundula T, et al. 2019</a>	Oral candidiasis	In favor of probiotics	3 of 12
<a href="#">Sayardoust S, et al. 2022</a>	Peri-implant microbiota	Not in favor of probiotics	4 of 4
<a href="#">Gennai S, et al. 2023</a>	Peri-implant mucositis	Weak support for probiotics <sup>2</sup>	6 of 6
<a href="#">Ambili R, et al. 2022</a>	Peri-implant diseases	In favor of Prodentis	4 of 4
<a href="#">Puzhankara L, et al. 2023</a>	Periodontal disease <sup>1</sup>	In favor of probiotics	1 of 10
<a href="#">Ausenda F, et al. 2023</a>	Periodontitis	In favor of probiotics	16 of 25
<a href="#">Hardan L, et al. 2022</a>	Periodontitis	In favor of probiotics	7 of 21
<a href="#">Ikram S, et al. 2018</a>	Periodontitis, chronic	In favor of probiotics	6 of 7
<a href="#">Martin-Cabezas R, et al. 2016</a>	Periodontitis, chronic	In favor of Prodentis	3 of 3
<a href="#">Mishra S, et al. 2021</a>	Periodontitis, chronic	In favor of probiotics	8 of 14
<a href="#">Song D, et al. 2020</a>	Periodontitis, chronic	In favor of Prodentis	8 of 8

<sup>1</sup> Comparison of probiotics to antibiotics  
<sup>2</sup> *L. reuteri* was close to significantly improved compared to placebo (p=0.08).

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled





Colonisation and Microbiota Studies

Colonisation and Microbiota Studies

New!

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<b><u>Sendelius M, 2023</u></b> Sweden	To evaluate safety and colonization of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 4659 in humans, as well as in vitro characterization of the strain.	R, DB, PC 28 days + follow-up at 42 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> low dose: 12 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> high dose: 12 (1x10 <sup>11</sup> CFU) Placebo: 6	<i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 4659 was shown to be safe for human consumption. There were no differences in adverse adverts reported between the groups and colonization was described. Basic characteristics of the strain were reported, including antibiotic resistance traits and genomic safety.
<b><u>Valeur N, 2004</u></b> Denmark	Colonisation and effect on immune cells of the gut epithelium in healthy adults.	Open 28 days + 28d follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 19 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	Colonisation verified after 4 weeks by biopsies from the gastric mucosa and the small intestine (duodenum and ileum) and by faecal analyses
<b><u>Abrahamsson T, 2009</u></b> (Substudy of Abrahamsson 2007) Sweden	Prevalence of <i>L. reuteri</i> in infant feces after oral supplementation, and influence on the microbial ecology in infants 0–2 years old.	R, DB, PC 12 months + follow-up at 24 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 95 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	· <i>L. reuteri</i> was detected in the feces of most infants after oral supplementation during the first year of life · Treatment with antibiotics did not reduce the levels of <i>L. reuteri</i>
Björkman P, 1999 Finland	Colonisation of the large intestine in healthy adults.	Open 12 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 10 (>10 <sup>9</sup> CFU)	Colonisation verified after 12 days by biopsies from the large intestine and by faecal analyses
<b><u>Rosander A, 2008</u></b> Sweden	To verify the safety and colonisation of <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) DSM 17938 in healthy adults, and also in high dose.	R, DB, PC 28 days + 28d follow-up	Lr DSM 17938: 4 (8x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Lr DSM 17938: 5 (6.5x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) Lr ATCC 55730: 3 (8x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 4	Colonisation of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 verified in faecal samples, and to the same extent as for <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730
<b><u>Egervärn M, 2010</u></b> Sweden	To evaluate the risk of transfer of plasmid borne antibiotic resistance in <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 to other gut microbes.	R, DB 14 days + 14d follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730: 7 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938: 7 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 colonized to the same extent as <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730
<b><u>Savino F, 2010</u></b> Italy	To study the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on infant colic in infants 2–16 weeks old, and investigate changes in the faecal microbiota.	R, DB, PC 21 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 21	· 13 infants from each group had faecal samples analysed for <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, and on day 21 it was detected in 12 of 13 infants in the probiotic group, at a mean number of 2.8x10 <sup>4</sup> CFU/g. · There was no <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 detected in the feces of the infants in the placebo group.
<b><u>Roos S, 2013</u></b> (Substudy of Savino 2010) Italy	To analyze the global faecal microbial composition, using large-scale DNA sequencing of 16 S rRNA genes, in a subsample of a population of colicky, breastfed infants given <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 or placebo.	R, DB, PC Faecal samples were collected on days 1 and 21 (last day of intervention)	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 15 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 14	· The infants' faecal microbiota were composed of <i>Proteobacteria</i> , <i>Firmicutes</i> , <i>Actinobacteria</i> and <i>Bacteroidetes</i> as the four main phyla. Infants with colic had very high inter-individual variability with <i>Firmicutes</i> / <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ratios varying from 4000 to 0.025. On an individual basis, the microbiota was, however, relatively stable over time. · <i>L. reuteri</i> did not change the global composition of the microbiota, but responders to treatment had an increased relative abundance of the phyla <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and genus <i>Bacteroides</i> at day 21 compared with day 0 vs. non-responders. · The phyla composition of the infants at day 21 could be divided into three enterotype groups, dominated by <i>Firmicutes</i> , <i>Bacteroidetes</i> , and <i>Actinobacteria</i> , respectively.
<b><u>Dommels YEM, 2009</u></b> The Netherlands	To evaluate the faecal detection rate of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and another probiotic when ingested in a low-fat spread.	R, DB, PC 3 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 13 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) LGG: 16 (5x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 13	<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 showed good survival in the GI tract when ingested in a low-fat spread
<b><u>Smith TJ, 2011</u></b> USA	To study colonisation and persistence of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in healthy adults after daily or alternate-day probiotic dosing in a vanilla pudding. Colonisation was measured as faecal counts of <i>L. reuteri</i> All subjects were non-colonized by <i>L. reuteri</i> on day 0.	Open 7 days	Daily <i>L. reuteri</i> : 9 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Alternate day <i>L. reuteri</i> : 9 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU)	Alternate-day compared to daily probiotic intake achieved equivalent colonisation. Faecal levels on days 2–4 were of the same magnitude as on days 5–7 in both groups. Colonisation declined rapidly once dosing stopped. Whether alternate day dosing had any effect on clinical outcome measures was not studied.
Glintborg B, 2006 Denmark	To reduce bacterial load and gastric inflammation in <i>H. pylori</i> -infected dyspeptic adults.	Open 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 7 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	Colonisation of the gastric mucosa verified at 6 months in all subjects by biopsies
<b><u>Handschr M, 2007</u></b> South Africa	To test identification methods for detection and persistence of <i>L. reuteri</i> in the feces of 4–12 months old infants hospitalized for diarrhoea.	Open, PC 3 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 4, whereof 2 HIV-pos. (1x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) Placebo: 3, whereof 1 HIV-pos.	<i>L. reuteri</i> was detected in feces after 3 days of supplementation to infants with diarrhoea and treated with antibiotics

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Colonisation and Microbiota Studies

Colonisation and Microbiota Studies

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<b><u>Papagaroufal K, 2014</u></b> Greece	To assess the safety of infant formula containing <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 during the first month of life, with special reference to D-lactic acid, in comparison to infants fed a control formula. Other outcomes were GI tolerance, sleeping and crying behavior, growth and occurrence of adverse events.	R, DB, + control formula 28 days  Follow-up on days 112 and 168	36 (6.6x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 35  31 infants in each group took part in the follow-up on days 112 and 168	Compared to control formula: · On day 14 and at 4 months the faecal detection rate of <i>Bifidobacterium</i> , <i>Lactobacillus</i> , and <i>L. reuteri</i> was significantly higher in the probiotic group · There was no difference in the detection rate of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> or in total bacteria levels
<b><u>Garcia Rodenas CL, 2016</u></b> (substudy of Papagaroufal 2014) Greece	To assess the response of newborn infants' microbiota depending on C-section- (C) or vaginally-delivered (V) and ingesting a formula containing <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, in comparison to a similar formula without the probiotic.	R, DB, + control formula (Ct) Stool samples were collected at 2 weeks and 4 months of age. Microbial DNA was extracted, amplified and pyrosequenced	<i>L. reuteri</i> (V-Lr): 9 <i>L. reuteri</i> (C-Lr): 11 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/L of formula)  Control (V-Ct): 10 Control (C-Ct): 10	At two weeks, feeding of the <i>L. reuteri</i> formula induced changes in the microbiota of C-section-delivered infants to a composition more like the one in vaginally born infants, whether given <i>L. reuteri</i> or not. This C-section group had significantly increased abundance and occurrence of <i>Bifidobacterium</i> compared to the C-Ct group. <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> abundance was largely decreased. By contrast, the levels of clostridia and <i>Enterococcus</i> were similarly high in both C-Ct and C-Lr when compared to the vaginally born groups. <i>Enterobacter</i> in C-Lr was not significantly different from C-Ct or from the vaginal delivery groups. At four months the differences between groups were gone, except for <i>Lactobacillus</i> , which was increased at both study ages in the Lr groups, regardless of mode of delivery.
Karvonen A, 2001 (abstract) Finland	Safety and colonisation in newborn term infants.	R, DB, PC 30 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 12 (10 <sup>5</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (10 <sup>7</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 28	No child had any faecal <i>L. reuteri</i> on day 0. Thereafter <i>L. reuteri</i> colonized in a dose-dependent manner.
<b><u>del Campo R, 2014</u></b> Spain	To assess the effects of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in subjects with cystic fibrosis, aged 8–44y (mean age 18y), on GI and overall health (measured by validated questionnaires), the effect on gut inflammation and the composition of the gut microbiota.	R, DB, PC, crossover 2 parallel groups 6 mo probiotic 6 mo placebo	30 in total <i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 30	Compared to the placebo test period: · GI health score was significantly improved after 6 mo with <i>L. reuteri</i> , measured by the GIQLI questionnaire · Gut inflammation, measured as faecal calprotectin levels, was significantly reduced by <i>L. reuteri</i> After 6 months with <i>L. reuteri</i> the composition of the gut microbiota was modulated to a less dense and a more diverse one, with 31% reduction of high numbers of <i>Proteobacteria</i> . There was a considerable increase of <i>Firmicutes</i> and <i>Bacteroidetes</i> . The microbiota thereby became more similar to the one of healthy persons.
<b><u>Mangalat N, 2012</u></b> USA	Primary aim was to investigate the safety of drops with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in healthy adults. Secondary aim was to study changes in some immune factors.	R, DB, PC 2 months with follow-up after 1 and 4 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (5 drops/d = 5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 10	The numbers of faecal <i>L. reuteri</i> as analysed by qPCR differed almost significantly compared to placebo after 1 and 2 months of ingestion. Generally, the numbers of <i>L. reuteri</i> were low in the treatment group.
<b><u>Rattanaprasert M, 2014</u></b> USA	To test substrate-directed synbiotic strategies to enhance the persistence and metabolic activity of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in the human gut, in a human crossover trial. The prebiotics were galactooligosaccharide (GOS) and/or rhamnose, with maltodextrin as the control. Faecal samples were analysed for numbers of <i>L. reuteri</i> and its metabolic activity.	R, SB, PC, cross-over. 4 study periods of 28d each: 11d run-in/ washout period + 7d with ingestion of study product + 10d test-of-persistence period with ingestion of each prebiotic only.	<i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr): 15 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) 4 study periods: 1. Lr + GOS (2 g) 2. Lr + rhamnose (2 g) 3. Lr + (GOS+rhamnose, 1+1g) 4. Lr + maltodextrin	After 7 days of ingestion of the synbiotic preparations and of <i>L. reuteri</i> + maltodextrin, the faecal numbers were 10 <sup>8</sup> cfu/g but declined rapidly thereafter. As a single substrate, rhamnose had no effect on metabolic activity. When it was combined with GOS, this synbiotic preparation contributed to the stimulation of metabolic activity of <i>L. reuteri</i> in most subjects. The synbiotic preparations, as well as the prebiotics on their own, were well tolerated.
<b><u>Frese S, 2012</u></b> USA	Compare survival and persistence rates of autochthonous (indigenous) and allochthonous (transient) <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains in healthy, young adults. Autochthonous strains: <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 6475 and <i>L. mucosae</i> FSL-04. Allochthonous: <i>L. acidophilus</i> DDS1.	R, SB, crossover 7 days with 15 days follow-up	12 subjects in total <i>L. reuteri</i> : 12 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) <i>L. mucosae</i> : 12 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) <i>L. acidophilus</i> : 12 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU)	<i>L. reuteri</i> and <i>L. mucosae</i> were detected in more subjects after administration, and these strains also reached about ten times higher cell numbers in faecal samples when compared to <i>L. acidophilus</i> . The autochthonous strains were more efficiently established, which is of importance when selecting probiotic strains for human use.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Safety in Infants and Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Abrahamsson T, 2007</a> Sweden	Prevention of atopic eczema in infants 0-2 years old.	R, DB, PC 12 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 95 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	No clinical tolerance problems during the 12 months supplementation or at follow-up at 2 years of age
<a href="#">Abrahamsson TR, 2013</a> (Substudy of Abrahamsson 2007) Sweden	In a study on prevention of allergy in newborns, <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 reduced the incidence of IgE-associated allergic disease in infancy. This treatment might therefore also reduce the risk of asthma and allergic rhino conjunctivitis in school age, which this follow-up study set out to investigate. It also evaluated whether this supplementation was associated with any long-term side effects. The age at follow-up was 7y.	Original study: R, DB, PC	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 94 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 90 In the 2007 trial 232 infants were randomised and 188 completed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Growth indices and gastrointestinal symptoms were similar in the two groups</li><li>· No severe adverse events were reported</li></ul>
<a href="#">Connolly E, 2005</a> Sweden	To investigate if levels of D(-)-lactic acid levels in the blood is a safety issue in infants who get <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 as a long-term daily supplement from birth.	R, DB, PC 12 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 14 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· All infants had very low levels of D(-)-lactic acid (20-130μM) as measured after 6 and 12 months, i.e. far below levels associated with D(-)-lactic acidosis</li><li>· This D(-)-lactic acid producing probiotic can be safely given to infants</li></ul>
<a href="#">Kosek MN, 2019</a> Peru	A phase I study to assess the safety and tolerability of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in oil suspension in healthy children, 2-5y old, before doing a phase II/III treatment-of-diarrhoea study in children.	R, DB, PC 5 days follow-up until day 28, and at 6 mo post-enrollment	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 41 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 19	Results support no reason for safety concern of use of <i>L. reuteri</i> . No difference in markers for iron status, liver, kidney and immune functions. Same incidence of fever and diarrhoeal episodes, but days with diarrhoea, rash or pruritus were fewer in Lr group, based on parental reporting for 28 days. No difference in rates of adverse events between groups, all evaluated as non-related to study products. No serious adverse events.
<a href="#">Gutiérrez-Castrellón P, 2014</a> Mexico	Evaluate if daily administration of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 reduces the frequency and duration of diarrhoea episodes and respiratory tract infections in Mexican day school children aged 6-36 months. A cost-effectiveness analysis was also made.	R, DB, PC 3 months of intervention, follow-up at 6 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 168 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 168	During the study, parents/guardians reported 34 cases of exanthematous disease (18 cases of rubella and 16 cases of exanthema subitum) and 22 cases of minor trauma. None of these adverse events were deemed to be related to the study products, and no related serious adverse events were reported in any group.
<a href="#">Indrio F, 2014</a> Italy	Investigate if oral supplementation with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 during the first 3 months of life can reduce the onset of colic, gastroesophageal reflux, and constipation in term newborns, and in addition reduce the socio-economic impact of these conditions	R, DB, PC 90 days  Multicentre study	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 238 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 230	Adverse events were monitored by weekly telephone calls that also monitored compliance to study products. No adverse events were reported that were related to the trial.
<a href="#">Savino F, 2010</a> Italy	To study the effect of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 on infant colic in infants 2-16 weeks old, and investigate changes in the faecal microbiota.	R, DB, PC 21 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Infants in both groups increased their growth parameters significantly during the 3-week study, with no statistical differences between groups.</li><li>· The study products were well tolerated. 5 adverse events were reported, whereof one in the probiotic group. All were evaluated as unrelated to the study product.</li></ul>

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Safety in Infants and Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Fatheree NY, 2017</a> USA	A phase 1 study that investigated the safety and tolerability of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in healthy breastfed infants with colic, aged 3 weeks to 3 months. Secondary outcomes were effect on crying and fussing time, inflammatory biomarkers and microbiota composition.	R, DB, PC 42 days + 134 days follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 12 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 7  NOTE: The dose was 5 drops, equivalent to 1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU, not 5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU, as stated in the article.	Adverse events were monitored strictly based on the FDA Adverse Events Response System and clinical severity index. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· No severe adverse events were reported</li><li>· No significant differences between <i>L. reuteri</i> and placebo in any of the outcomes</li></ul>
<a href="#">Urbanska M, 2016</a> Poland	The efficacy of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in prevention of nosocomial diarrhoea in hospitalized children, 1-48 months old. A repeat of Wanke's trial but with a 10 times higher dose.	R, DB, PC During hospital stay	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 91 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	<i>L. reuteri</i> did not affect the incidence of hospital-acquired diarrhoeal disease. There was also no difference between the <i>L. reuteri</i> and placebo groups for any of the secondary outcomes, including adverse effects. Rotavirus vaccination status had no impact on the results.
<a href="#">Handschr M, 2007</a> South Africa	To test identification methods for detection and persistence of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 in the feces of 4-12 months old infants hospitalized for diarrhoea.	Open, PC 3 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 4, whereof 2 HIV-pos. (1x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) Placebo: 3, whereof 1 HIV-pos.	<i>L. reuteri</i> was detected in feces after 3 days of supplementation to infants with diarrhoea and treated with antibiotics. There was no report of any adverse events.
<a href="#">Hoy-Schulz YE, 2016</a> Bangladesh	A phase I study that investigated the safety and acceptability of two probiotics: drops with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 (Lr) and powder with <i>B. longum</i> ssp <i>infantis</i> 35624 (Bi), in healthy infants aged 4 to 12 weeks, from urban slums in Bangladesh. Gastrointestinal and respiratory symptoms as well as breastfeeding rates, hospitalizations, differential withdrawals, and caretakers' perception of probiotic use were compared among arms. Primary outcome was proportion of days with symptoms.	R, DB, controlled 1 month's invention + follow-up after 2 additional months. Randomized to 1 of 3 different dosing arms (daily, weekly, biweekly – once every two weeks) over one month, or to a 4th arm that received no probiotics.	Lr+Bi daily: 35 (29 doses) Lr+Bi weekly: 35 (5 doses) Lr+Bi biweekly: 35 (3 doses) Control: 32 (Lr: 1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU + Bi: 1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU)	The ingestion of the combination of these two probiotics was found safe, also if given daily: they did not cause sudden reactions, increase symptom rates, or diminish breastfeeding rates. They were acceptable to the infants and no problems administering the probiotics were identified. No differences in rates of any reported symptoms were observed among arms; additionally, no sudden adverse or allergic reactions were found after probiotic administration, and no hospitalizations were deemed related to the study products.
Karvonen A, 2001 (abstract) Finland	Safety and colonisation in newborn term infants of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730	R, DB, PC 30 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> 12 (1x10 <sup>5</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>7</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 28	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· No clinical tolerance problems</li><li>· Reduction in frequency of watery stools compared to placebo</li></ul>
<a href="#">Weizman Z, 2006</a> Israel	Safety of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 in healthy infants 3-65 days old.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 20 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Bb-12: 20 (1.2x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Control: 19	Infant formulas with added probiotics were safe, well tolerated and did not negatively affect growth, defecation habits or infant behaviour.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Safety in Infants and Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Papagaroufalīs K, 2014</a> Greece	To assess the safety of starter infant formula containing <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 during the first month of life, with special reference to D-lactic acid, in comparison to infants fed a control starter formula. Other outcomes were GI tolerance, sleeping and crying behaviour, growth and occurrence of adverse events.	R, DB, controlled 28 days  Follow-up on days 112 and 168	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 36 (6.6 x 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Control: 35  31 infants in each group took part in the follow-up on days 112 and 168	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Median urinary D-lactate levels were higher in the <i>L. reuteri</i> group than in the control group at 7 and 14 days, but lower at 28 days. Results were consistent with normal ranges of D-lactate previously reported for healthy infants, and far below pathological ranges described in adults.</li><li>· The occurrence of serious and non-serious AEs was comparable between the two groups. Non-serious AEs were reported in 20% of infants in the probiotics group and 23% of infants in the control group. In both groups, most of these (5 in the probiotics group and 6 in the control group) were respiratory system disorders. None was related to the study products.</li><li>· In all, 5% of infants in each group had a serious AE during the study</li><li>· Growth was normal, without differences between groups</li><li>· There were no differences in the duration of crying or night time sleep</li></ul>
<a href="#">Cekola PL, 2015</a> USA	To assess the safety of a partially hydrolysed infant formula with added <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 (Lr) in comparison to a similar product without any probiotic (Con), in healthy full-term neonates, with growth as primary outcome. The formulas differed only with regard to the proportion of carbohydrate sources: lactose:maltodextrin ratio was 70:30 in Con + added prebiotic (GOS), while the ratio was 30:70 and no GOS in the Lr formula.	R, DB, controlled  Infants ingested the formula from day 14 after birth to day 112=14 weeks	<i>L. reuteri</i> 60 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 62	Infants assigned to either formula had normal and similar rates and patterns of growth. Overall, between groups, there were no significant differences in formula intake, stool frequency, colour, consistency, flatulence, frequency of spit-up/ vomiting, mood, sleep, or incidence of adverse events (AEs). In both groups a few of the AEs were evaluated as having 'probable' relationship to study product.
<a href="#">Lee LY, 2015</a> Singapore	To establish safety in healthy, full term infants of starter infant formula containing <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, and <i>L. reuteri</i> same strain) plus prebiotics FOS/GOS, respectively, assessed against WHO Growth Standards (CGS). GI tolerance and urinary L- and D-lactate were also investigated.	R, DB, controlled 6 months  Follow-up at 2 and 4 mo	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 68 <i>L. reuteri</i> + FOS/ GOS: 72 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· Both groups gained weight in accordance with WHO CGS. Other growth parameters were similar between the two groups.</li><li>· Excretion of urinary L- and D-lactate were similar in the groups</li><li>· GI tolerance and morbidity were similar in the two groups</li></ul>

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Safety During Pregnancy

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Forsberg A, 2020</a> Sweden	To investigate how maternal peripheral immunity is affected by pregnancy, and by probiotic and ω-3 fatty acid supplementation.	R, DB, PC From gestational week 20 until birth	1) <i>L. reuteri</i> + ω-3 PUFA: 22 2) ω-3 PUFA + placebo: 21 3) placebo + ω-3 PUFA: 22 4) placebo capsules + placebo oil: 23 ( <i>L. reuteri</i> : 1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU, 20 droplets x 2 daily; ω-3 PUFA: 3840 mg)	No adverse events were reported in the women receiving <i>L. reuteri</i> .
<a href="#">Abrahamsson T, 2007</a> Sweden	To evaluate prevention of atopic eczema in infants 0–2 years old where pregnant women ingested <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 before giving birth.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks before delivery, follow-up after 1 month	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 95 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 93	Adverse events among mothers were not registered.
<a href="#">Schlagenhauf U, 2016</a> Germany	Influence of <i>L. reuteri</i> Prodentis lozenges on plaque control and gingival inflammation in pregnant women.	R, DB, PC During 3rd trimester and until the first days after delivery	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 24 (4x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 21	Adverse events were not registered.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



Safety in Adults

New!

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Sendelius M, 2023</a> Sweden	To evaluate safety and colonization of <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 4659 in humans, as well as in vitro characterization of the strain.	R, DB, PC  28 days + follow-up at 42 days	<i>L. reuteri</i> low dose: 12 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> high dose: 12 (1x10 <sup>11</sup> CFU) Placebo: 6	<i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 4659 was shown to be safe for human consumption. There were no differences in adverse advents reported between the groups and colonization was described. Basic characteristics of the strain were reported, including antibiotic resistance traits and genomic safety.
<a href="#">Böttcher ME, 2008</a> (Substudy of Abrahamsson 2007) Sweden	To evaluate effect on the immunological composition of breast milk (as part of a study on allergy prevention in the offspring). Pregnant women ingested <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 before giving birth.	R, DB, PC 4 weeks before delivery, follow-up after 1 month	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 54 (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 55	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Colostrum content of the cytokine TGF-beta2 was significantly reduced while its content of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 increased</li><li>The effect was not retained at follow-up</li><li>Development of eczema during the first 24 months of life was not associated with any of the analysed breast milk parameters</li></ul>
<a href="#">Egervärn M, 2010</a> Sweden	To evaluate the risk of transfer of plasmid borne antibiotic resistance in <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 to other gut microbes.	R, DB 14 days + 14d follow-up	<i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730: 7 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938: 7 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No clinical safety or tolerance problems</li><li>There was no transfer of antibiotic resistance to other gut bacteria species</li></ul>
<a href="#">Mangalat N, 2012</a> USA	To investigate the safety of drops with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938, according to FDA's policies of Investigational New Drug, administered to healthy adults for 2 months. Changes in some immune factors were also monitored.	R, DB, PC 2 months with follow-up after 1 and 4 months	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (5 drops/d = 5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 10	<i>L. reuteri</i> drops were safe to consume and well tolerated. There was no increased risk of adverse events or differences in adverse events reported in the probiotic vs. the placebo group. None of the adverse events were related to the probiotic. No severe adverse events were reported.
<a href="#">Oberhelman RA, 2014</a> Peru	A phase I study to assess the safety and tolerability of <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 in oil suspension in healthy adult volunteers.	R, DB, PC 5 days + follow-up until day 36 and at 6 months after start of study	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 30 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>There was no evidence of invasive infection due to <i>L. reuteri</i> administration and no differences between groups for laboratory parameters, vital signs, clinical tolerance, or symptoms reported.</li><li>The frequency of subject-reported symptoms on the daily log sheets was similar between study groups.</li><li>The frequency of adverse events was similar between study groups, and no serious adverse events were reported.</li></ul>
<a href="#">Rosander A, 2008</a> Sweden	To verify the safety and colonisation of <i>L. reuteri</i> (Lr) DSM 17938 in healthy adults, and also in high dose.	R, DB, PC 28 days + 28d follow-up	Lr DSM 17938: 4 (8x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Lr DSM 17938: 5 (6.5x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) Lr ATCC 55730: 3 (8x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) Placebo: 4	No clinical safety or tolerance problems with any of the dosages or <i>L. reuteri</i> strains
<a href="#">Wolf BW, 1998</a> USA	Safety and tolerance in immunocompromised, i.e. HIV-positive adults. The subjects were 23-50yr, the majority men, and not using antiretroviral therapy. They consumed high dose of freeze-dried <i>L. reuteri</i> SD2112 (=ATCC 55730) powder in sachets.	R, DB, PC 21 days + 14d follow-up Physical examination, serum chemistries, hematology, urinalysis, and faecal fat: at baseline, day 21 and 35. Faecal total <i>Lactobacillus</i> and <i>L. reuteri</i> were analysed every week, including baseline. Any GI symptoms were reported in a daily diary.	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 15 (1x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU) Placebo: 20	There were no clinical safety or tolerance problems compared to placebo. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Blood analyses showed no growth of bacteria.</li><li>Faecal numbers of <i>L. reuteri</i> and total <i>Lactobacillus</i> were unusually low in the active group, though <i>L. reuteri</i> tended to increase in the active group. The lifestyle of most subjects, being homosexual men, might explain this deviation from the results of the safety trial in healthy men (Wolf 1995).</li></ul>

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled

Safety in Adults

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Wolf BW, 1995</a> USA	Safety and tolerance in healthy adult males consuming a capsule with powder of freeze-dried <i>L. reuteri</i> SD2112 (=ATCC 55730) in high dose.	R, DB, PC 21 days + 7d follow-up Serum chemistries, hematology, urinalysis, urinary indican excretion, faecal fat and faecal total <i>Lactobacillus</i> and <i>L. reuteri</i> , were analysed every week, including baseline. Physical examination: day 0, 21 and 28. Any GI symptoms were reported in a daily diary. Faecal level of <i>L. reuteri</i> was checked also at day 77 after first day of probiotic consumption.	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 15 (1x10 <sup>11</sup> CFU) Placebo: 15	The incidence of subjective tolerance factors was infrequent and similar for both treatments. Serum chemistry associated with heart, liver, and kidney function, protein balance, and bone maintenance was analysed: although significant differences were observed for a few of the serum chemistry and hematology variables, all of the values remained within the normal range for healthy adult males. Subjects consuming <i>L. reuteri</i> had increased (p<0.01) levels of <i>L. reuteri</i> in their feces on day 7, 14, 21, and 28. Colonisation was lost within 2 months. Total <i>Lactobacillus</i> numbers did not differ between groups during the study. Conclusion: <i>L. reuteri</i> at this high dosage was safe to use and with good tolerance.

Long Term Follow-up Studies in Infants and Children

Reference	Study Objectives	Study Design*	No. of Subjects (dose)	Results
<a href="#">Rianda D, 2022</a> (Substudy of Agustina 2012) Indonesia	To evaluate the long-term effect of probiotics ( <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 or <i>L. casei</i> CRL431) and calcium supplementation (low or regular) during childhood, on cognition, mood, behaviour, and serum BDNF levels in adolescents.	Original study: R, DB, PC	Low calcium (LC): 53 Regular calcium (RC): 70 RC + <i>L. reuteri</i> : 55 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU) RC + <i>L. casei</i> : 60 (5x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The <i>L. reuteri</i> group scored lower on the Children's Depression Inventory compared to RC</li><li>The <i>L. casei</i> CRL431 group scored higher on the Raven's Progressive Matrices compared to RC</li><li>The groups did not differ in Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire score</li><li>The RC group had higher serum BDNF levels than LC</li><li>Adverse events were not registered.</li></ul>
<a href="#">Abrahamsson TR, 2013</a> (Substudy of Abrahamsson 2007) Sweden	In a study on prevention of allergy in newborns, <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 reduced the incidence of IgE-associated allergic disease in infancy. This treatment might therefore also reduce the risk of asthma and allergic rhino conjunctivitis in school age, which this follow-up study set out to investigate. It also evaluated whether this supplementation was associated with any long-term side effects. The age at follow-up was 7y.	Original study: R, DB, PC	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 94 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 90 In the 2007 trial 232 infants were randomised and 188 completed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Growth indices and gastrointestinal symptoms were similar in the two groups</li><li>No severe adverse events were reported</li></ul>
<a href="#">Stensson M, 2014</a> (Substudy of Abrahamsson 2007) Sweden	To evaluate the effect on oral health, at age 9 years, of daily oral supplementation with the probiotic <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC 55730, to mothers during the last month of gestation and to children throughout the first year of life.	R, SB, PC Multi-center	<i>L. reuteri</i> (5 drops/d): 60 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo (5 drops/d): 53 Attrition rate of 40% compared to the initial 188 infants. Loss to follow-up was mainly due to family move from the area.	Compared to placebo, <i>L. reuteri</i> significantly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Increased the proportion of caries free children: 82% vs. 58%</li><li>Decreased the prevalence of approximal caries: 0.67 vs. 1.53 tooth surfaces</li><li>decreased the number of sites with gingivitis</li></ul>
Ceratto S, 2014 (abstract, sub-study of Savino 2010) Italy	If probiotic reatment with <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 for infant colic may prevent atopic diseases (cow's milk allergy and atopic dermatitis), asthma and migraine at the age of five, and effects on growth.	Original study: R, DB, PC	<i>L. reuteri</i> : 25 (1x10 <sup>9</sup> CFU) Placebo: 23 In 2010 50 were randomised at baseline and 46 analysed	Growth was similar in the two groups, measured as BMI Z-score.

\* R= randomized, DB = double blind, SB = single blind, PC= placebo controlled



# References

**Abrahamsson TR** et al. Probiotics in prevention of IgE-associated eczema: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2007 May;119(5):1174-80. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2007.01.007.

**Abrahamsson TR** et al. Probiotic lactobacilli in breast milk and infant stool in relation to oral intake during the first year of life. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2009 Sep;49(3):349-54. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e-31818f091b..

**Abrahamsson TR** et al. A Th1/Th2-associated chemokine imbalance during infancy in children developing eczema, wheeze and sensitization. Clin Exp Allergy. 2011 Dec;41(12):1729-39. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2011.03827.x.

**Abrahamsson TR** et al. No effect of probiotics on respiratory allergies: a seven-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial in infancy. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2013 Sep;24(6):556-61. doi: 10.1111/pai.12104.

**Agustina R** et al. Randomized trial of probiotics and calcium on diarrhea and respiratory tract infections in Indonesian children. Pediatrics. 2012 May;129(5):e1155-64. doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-1379.

**Agustina R** et al. A double-blind, randomized trial of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938, *Lactobacillus casei* CRL 431 and calcium on diarrhoea duration and severity in Indonesian children. 4th World Congress of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, 14-18 Nov. 2012, Taipei, Taiwan. Abstract #20026.

**Agustina R** et al. Probiotics *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and *Lactobacillus casei* CRL 431 modestly increase growth, but not iron and zinc status, among Indonesian children aged 1-6 years. J Nutr. 2013 Jul;143(7):1184-93. doi: 10.3945/jn.112.166397.

**Ai R** et al. A meta-analysis of randomized trials assessing the effects of probiotic preparations on oral candidiasis in the elderly. Arch Oral Biol. 2017;83:187-192. doi:10.1016/j.archoralbio.2017.04.030.

**Aiello RS** et al. Efficacy and safety of *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 in preventing acute chemotherapy induced diarrhoea in colon cancer patients treated with De Gramont or Folfox Schedule. 2008 Ann Oncol. 19 (Suppl. 9): ix12, abstract B7.

**Akram Z** et al. Clinical efficacy of probiotics in the treatment of gingivitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Aust Dent J. Published online 2019. doi:10.1111/adj.12733.

**Alamoudi NM** et al. Effect of Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* on Salivary Cariogenic Bacterial Counts among Groups of Preschool Children in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2018;42(5):331-338. doi: 10.17796/1053-4625-42.5.2.

**Alforaidi S,** et al. Effect of drops containing Lactobacillus reuteri (DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289) on plaque acidogenicity and other caries-related variables in orthodontic patients. BMC Microbiol. 2021 Oct 6;21(1):271. doi: 10.1186/s12866-021-02310-2.

**Alqahtani F,** et al. Efficacy of mechanical debridement with adjunctive probiotic therapy in the treatment of peri-implant mucositis in cigarette-smokers and never-smokers. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2019 Aug;21(4):734-740. doi: 10.1111/cid.12795.

**Alqahtani F,** et al. Efficacy of antibiotic versus probiotics as adjuncts to mechanical debridement for managing peri-implant mucositis. J Oral Implantol. 2021 Jan 15. doi: 10.1563/aid-joi-D-20-00259.

**Ambili R,** et al. Adjunctive benefit of probiotic supplementation along with nonsurgical therapy for peri-implant diseases – A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2023;27(4):352-361. doi:10.4103/jisp.jisp\_308\_22.

**Anabrees J,** et al. Probiotics for infantile colic: a systematic review. BMC Pediatr. 2013 Nov 15;13:186. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-13-186.

**Ata-Ali J,** et al. Effect of probiotics on peri-implant microbiota in smoking and non-smoking patients. 2012 J Clin Periodontol 39(Suppl 13): abstract P1048.

**Ausenda F,** et al. Clinical, microbiological and immunological short, medium and long-term effects of different strains of probiotics as an adjunct to non-surgical periodontal therapy in patients with periodontitis. Systematic review with meta-analysis. Jpn Dent Sci Rev. 2023;59:62-103. doi:10.1016/j.jdsr.2023.02.001.

**Belei O,** et al. Is It Useful to Administer Probiotics Together With Proton Pump Inhibitors in Children With Gastroesophageal Reflux? J Neuro-gastroenterol Motil. 2018 Jan 30;24(1):51-57. doi: 10.5056/jnm17059.

**Björkman P.** Colonisation of the human gastrointestinal tract by the lactic acid bacteria *Lactobacillus reuteri*. 1999 M.Sc. thesis, Dept. of Food Technology, University of Helsinki, Finland.

**Braathen G** et al. Presence of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in saliva coincide with higher salivary IgA in young adults after intake of probiotic lozenges. Benef Microbes. 2017 Feb 7;8(1):17-22. doi: 10.3920/BM2016.0081.

**Bravo J** et al. Efectos cl nicos de *Lactobacillus reuteri* en el tratamiento de la gingivitis: ensayo cl nico aleatorizado controlado.[Clinical effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in the treatment of gingivitis: a randomized controlled clinical trial.] Rev Clin Periodoncia Implantol Rehabil Oral. 2018 11(1):32-35. doi: 10.4067/S0719-01072018000100032.

**Böttcher MF** et al. Low breast milk TGF-β2 is induced by *Lactobacillus reuteri* supplementation and associates with reduced risk of sensitization during infancy. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2008 Sep;19(6):497-504. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3038.2007.00687.x.

**Caglar E** et al. Salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli levels after ingestion of the probiotic bacterium *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 by straws or tablets. Acta Odontol Scand. 2006 Oct;64(5):314-8. doi: 10.1080/00016350600801709.

**Caglar E** et al. Effect of chewing gums containing xylitol or probiotic bacteria on salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli. Clin Oral Investig. 2007 Dec;11(4):425-9. doi: 10.1007/s00784-007-0129-9.

**Caglar E** et al. A probiotic lozenge administered medical device and its effect on salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2008 Jan;18(1):35-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-263X.2007.00866.x.

**Cameron D** et al. Probiotics for gastrointestinal disorders: Proposed recommendations for children of the Asia-Pacific region. World J Gastroenterol. 2017 Dec 7;23(45):7952-7964. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i45.7952.

**Cannon M** et al. Effectiveness of CRT at measuring the salivary level of bacteria in caries prone children with probiotic therapy. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2013 Fall;38(1):55-60. doi: 10.17796/jcpd.38.1.b481624264142082.

**Cekola PL** et al. Growth and Tolerance of Term Infants Fed Formula With Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2015 Oct;54(12):1175-84. doi: 10.1177/0009922815574076.

**Ceratto S** et al. Atopic disorders, asthma, migraine and BMI Z-score in children treated with *Lactobacillus reuteri* for infant colic: a post hoc analysis. 2014 JPGN 58 (Suppl. 1):500-501, abstract PO-N-0316.

**Chau K** et al. Probiotics for infantile colic: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial investigating *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938. J Pediatr. 2015 Jan;166(1):74-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.09.020.

**Cildir SK** et al. A novel delivery system of probiotic drop and its effect on dental caries risk factors in cleft lip/palate children. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 2012 May;49(3):369-72. doi: 10.1597/10-035.

**Cimperman L** et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study of *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 for the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhea in hospitalized adults. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2011 Oct;45(9):785-9. doi: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e3182166a42.

**Cirillo Al** et al. Effectiveness of *L. reuteri* in patients with atopic dermatitis and cow milk intolerance. Preliminary study. Presented at the Italian Society for Clinical Allergy and Immunology (SIAIC) Congress, Rome, Italy, 4-7 May 2005. Abstract P-08.

**Coccorullo P** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* (DSM 17938) in infants with functional chronic constipation: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. J Pediatr. 2010 Oct;157(4):598-602. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2010.04.066.

**Connolly E** et al. Safety of D(-)-lactic acid producing bacteria in the human infant. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2005 Oct;41(4):489-92. doi: 10.1097/01.mpg.0000176179.81638.45.

**Contreras G AA** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and Agave Inulin in Children with Cerebral Palsy and Chronic Constipation: A Double-Blind Randomized Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial. Nutrients. 2020 Sep 28;12(10):2971. doi: 10.3390/nu12102971.

**Cruchet S** et al. The use of probiotics in pediatric gastroenterology: a review of the literature and recommendations by Latin-American experts. Paediatr Drugs. 2015 Jun;17(3):199-216. doi: 10.1007/s40272-015-0124-6.

**Cruchet S** et al. *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 6475 for the treatment of moderate to severe irritable bowel syndrome in adults: a randomized controlled trial. Front Gastroenterol. 2024;2:1296048. doi:10.3389/fgstr.2023.1296048.

**del Campo R** et al. Improvement of digestive health and reduction in proteobacterial populations in the gut microbiota of cystic fibrosis patients using a *Lactobacillus reuteri* probiotic preparation: a doubleblind prospective study. J Cyst Fibros. 2014 Dec;13(6):716-22. doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2014.02.007.

**Di Nardo G** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 in cystic fibrosis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2014 Jan;58(1):81-6. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000000187.

**Dinleyici EC** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 effectively reduces the duration of acute diarrhoea in hospitalised children. Acta Paediatr. 2014 Jul;103(7):e300-5. doi: 10.1111/apa.12617.

**Dinleyici EC** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 shortens acute infectious diarrhea in a pediatric outpatient setting. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2015 Jul-Aug;91(4):392-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.10.009.

**Dommels YE** et al. Survival of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG in the human gastrointestinal tract with daily consumption of a low-fat probiotic spread. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009 Oct;75(19):6198-204. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01054-09.

**Dore MP** et al. Inclusion of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in the Treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* in Sardinian Patients: A Case Report Series. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016 Apr;95(15):e3411. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000003411.

**Dos Reis Buzzo Zermiani AP,** et al. Evidence of *Lactobacillus reuteri* to reduce colic in breastfed babies: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Complement Ther Med. 2021 Dec;63:102781. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2021.102781.

**Dumitru IM** et al. The effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* therapy in intestinal disorders of various causes in HIV-infected patients. Infectio 'ro, Year V, No. 20, 4/2009, 18-21. (Published in a Romanian journal for physicians specialized in infectious diseases, and translated to English.)

**Ellwood J** et al. Comparison of common interventions for the treatment of infantile colic: a systematic review of reviews and guidelines. BMJ Open. 2020 Feb 25;10(2):e035405. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035405.

**Eftekhari K** et al. A Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial of *Lactobacillus reuteri* for Chronic Functional Abdominal Pain in Children. Iran J Pediatr. 2015 Dec;25(6):e2616. doi: 10.5812/ijp.2616.

**Egervärn M** et al. Transferability of a tetracycline resistance gene from probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* to bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of humans. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 2010 Feb;97(2):189-200. doi: 10.1007/s10482-009-9401-0.

**Emara MH** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* in management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in dyspeptic patients: a double-blind placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. Therap Adv Gastroenterol. 2014 Jan;7(1):4-13. doi: 10.1177/1756283X13503514.

**Eom T-H** et al. The therapeutic effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in acute diarrhoea in infants and toddlers. 2005 Korean J Ped. 48:986-989.

**Ericson D** et al. Salivary IgA response to probiotic bacteria and mutans streptococci after the use of chewing gum containing *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Pathog Dis. 2013 Aug;68(3):82-7. doi: 10.1111/2049-632X.12048.

**Fatherree NY** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* for Infants with Colic: A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Randomized Clinical Trial. J Pediatr. 2017 Dec;191:170-178.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.07.036.

**Flichy-Fernández AJ** et al. Effect of probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* on periodontal and peri-implant microbiota in partially edentulous patients. 2012 J Clin Periodontol. 39(Suppl 13): 392-393, abstract P1050.

**Flichy-Fernández AJ** et al. The effect of orally administered probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri*-containing tablets in peri-implant mucositis: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. J Periodontal Res. 2015 Dec;50(6):775-85. doi: 10.1111/jre.12264.

**Forsberg A** et al. Pre- and postnatal *Lactobacillus reuteri* treatment alters DNA methylation of infant T helper cells. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2020 Jul;31(5):544-553. doi: 10.1111/pai.13240.

**Forsberg A** et al. Changes in peripheral immune populations during pregnancy and modulation by probiotics and ω-3 fatty acids. Sci Rep. 2020 Oct 30;10(1):18723. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-75312-1.

**Forsgård RA** et al. *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 supplementation and SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody response in healthy adults: a randomized, triple-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. Gut Microbes. 2023;15(1):2229938. doi:10.1080/19490976.2023.2229938.

**Floch MH** et al. Recommendations for Probiotic Use--2015 Update: Proceedings and Consensus Opinion. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2015 Nov-Dec;49 Suppl 1:S69-73. doi: 10.1097/MCG.0000000000000420.

**Francavilla R** et al. Inhibition of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in humans by *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 and effect on eradication therapy: a pilot study. Helicobacter. 2008 Apr;13(2):127-34. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-5378.2008.00593.x.

**Francavilla R** et al. Randomised clinical trial: *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 vs. placebo in children with acute diarrhoea—a double-blind study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2012 Aug;36(4):363-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2012.05180.x.



**Franca**villa R et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* strain combination in *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2014 May-Jun;48(5):407-13. doi: 10.1097/MCG.0000000000000007.

**Frese SA** et al. Comparison of the colonisation ability of autochthonous and allochthonous strains of lactobacilli in the human gastrointestinal tract. 2012 Advances in Microbiology 2(3): 399-409. doi: 10.4236/aim.2012.23051.

**Galofré M** et al. Clinical and microbiological evaluation of the effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in the treatment of mucositis and peri-implantitis: A triple-blind randomized clinical trial. J Periodontal Res. 2018 Jun;53(3):378-390. doi: 10.1111/jre.12523.

**Garcia Rodenas CL** et al. Effect of Formula Containing *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 on Fecal Microbiota of Infants Born by Cesarean-Section. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2016 Dec;63(6):681-687. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000001198.

**Gennai S** et al. Efficacy of adjunctive measures in peri-implant mucositis. A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Periodontol. Published online 2023. doi:10.1111/jcpe.13791.

**Ghazal M** et al. A placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial of antibiotics versus probiotics as an adjuvant to nonsurgical periodontal treatment among smokers with Stage III, Grade C generalized periodontitis. Clin Adv Periodontics. 2023;13(3):197-204. doi:10.1002/cap.10253.

**Gizani S** et al. Effect of the probiotic bacterium *Lactobacillus reuteri* on white spot lesion development in orthodontic patients. Eur J Orthod. 2016 Feb;38(1):85-89. doi: 10.1093/ejo/cjv015.

**Georgieva M** et al. Use of the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in the prevention of antibiotic-associated infections in hospitalized Bulgarian children: a randomized, controlled trial. 2015 J of IMAB. 21(4):895-900. doi: 10.5272/jimab.2015214.895.

**Glintborg V** et al. Long-term administration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* (ATCC 55730) has no influence on gastric mucosal inflammation and colonisation of *Helicobacter pylori* in humans. A pilot study. 2006 Int J Probiotics Prebiotics. 1: 219-223.

**Gromert N, Axelsson I.** *Lactobacillus reuteri* effect on atopic eczema in childhood. 2009 J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 48(Suppl. 3):E148-149, abstract AHP-07.

**Gruner D** et al. Probiotics for managing caries and periodontitis: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Dent. 2016;48(Eur. J. Oral Sci. 113 3 2005):16-25. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2016.03.002.

**Grusovin MG** et al. Clinical efficacy of *Lactobacillus reuteri*-containing lozenges in the supportive therapy of generalized periodontitis stage III and IV, grade C: 1-year results of a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled pilot study. Clin Oral Investig. 2020 Jun;24(6):2015-2024. doi: 10.1007/s00784-019-03065-x.

**Guarner F** et al. World Gastroenterology Organisation Global Guideline: Probiotics and prebiotics. 2023.

**Guarino A** et al. Universal Recommendations for the Management of Acute Diarrhea in Nonmalnourished Children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2018 Nov;67(5):586-593. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000002053.

**Gutiérrez-Castrellón P** et al. Diarrhea in preschool children and *Lactobacillus reuteri*: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatrics. 2014 Apr;133(4):e904-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-0652.

**Gutiérrez-Castrellón P** et al. Efficacy of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 for infantile colic: Systematic review with network meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017 Dec;96(51):e9375. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000009375. Erratum in: Medicine (Baltimore). 2018 Jan;97(4):e9730.

**Hallström H** et al. Effect of probiotic lozenges on inflammatory reactions and oral biofilm during experimental gingivitis. Acta Odontol Scand. 2013 May-Jul;71(3-4):828-33. doi: 10.3109/00016357.2012.734406.

**Hallström H** et al. Probiotic supplements and debridement of peri-implant mucositis: a randomized controlled trial. Acta Odontol Scand. 2016;74(1):60-6. doi: 10.3109/00016357.2015.1040065.

**Harb T** et al. Infant Colic-What works: A Systematic Review of Interventions for Breast-fed Infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2016 May;62(5):668-86. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000001075.

**Hardan L** et al. The Use of Probiotics as Adjuvant Therapy of Periodontal Treatment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Trials. Pharm. 2022;14(5):1017. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14051017

**Handschur M** et al. Molecular investigation of the influence of oral fed *Lactobacillus reuteri* on the gut microbiota of HIV infected infants - a pilot study. 2007 Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment 5: 43-47.

**Herrera D** et al. Prevention and treatment of peri-implant diseases—The EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline. J Clin Periodontol. 2023;50(S26):4-76. doi:10.1111/jcpe.13823. 7.

**Hojsak I** et al. European guidance on paediatric use of probiotics states that benefits are limited to several conditions and urges caution with specific vulnerable groups. (Review.) 2018 Acta Paediatr. 107:927-937.

**Hoy-Schulz YE** et al. Safety and acceptability of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and Bifidobacterium longum subspecies infantis 35624 in Bangladeshi infants: a phase I randomized clinical trial. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2016 Feb 2;16:44. doi: 10.1186/s12906-016-1016-1.

**Hu L** et al. In vivo effectiveness and safety of probiotics on prophylaxis and treatment of oral candidiasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Oral Heal. 2019;19(1):140. doi:10.1186/s12903-019-0841-2.

**Huang N** et al. IEfficacy of probiotics in the management of halitosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Bmj Open. 2022;12(12):e060753. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-060753.

**Huoman J**, et al. Combined prenatal *Lactobacillus reuteri* and w-3 supplementation synergistically modulates DNA methylation in neonatal T helper cells. Clin Epigenetics. 2021 Jun 30;13(1):135. doi: 10.1186/s13148-021-01115-4

**Hyams JS** et al. Functional Disorders: Children and Adolescents. Gastroenterology. 2016 Feb 15:S0016-5085(16)00181-5. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.015.

**Ichsan B** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in Infantile Colic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Bioscientia Medicina : Journal of Biomedicine and Translational Research, 2022;6(3), 1446-1454. https://doi.org/10.37275/bsm.v6i3.457..

**Ikram S** et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trials using probiotics in chronic periodontitis. J Investigative Clin Dent. 2018;9(3):e12338. doi:10.1111/jicd.12338.

**Imase K** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* tablets suppress *Helicobacter pylori* infection—a double-blind randomised placebo-controlled cross-over clinical study. Kansenshogaku Zasshi. 2007 Jul;81(4):387-93. doi: 10.11150/kansenshogakuzasshi970.81.387.

**Ince G** et al. Clinical and Biochemical Evaluation of Lozenges Containing *Lactobacillus reuteri* as an Adjunct to Non-Surgical Periodontal Therapy in Chronic Periodontitis. J Periodontol. 2015 Jun;86(6):746-54. doi: 10.1902/jop.2015.140612.

**Indrio F** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* accelerates gastric emptying and improves regurgitation in infants. Eur J Clin Invest. 2011 Apr;41(4):417-22. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2362.2010.02425.x.

**Indrio F** et al. Prophylactic use of a probiotic in the prevention of colic, regurgitation, and functional constipation: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr. 2014 Mar;168(3):228-33. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.4367.

**Indrio F** et al. Effect of a Partially Hydrolysed Whey Infant Formula Supplemented with Starch and *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 on Regurgitation and Gastric Motility. Nutrients. 2017 Oct 28;9(11):1181. doi: 10.3390/nu9111181.

**Indrio F**, et al. Management of the Most Common Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders in Infancy: The Middle East Expert Consensus. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr. 2021 Jul;24(4):325-336. doi: 10.5223/pghn.2021.24.4.325.

**Iniesta M** et al. Probiotic effects of orally administered *Lactobacillus reuteri*-containing tablets on the subgingival and salivary microbiota in patients with gingivitis. A randomized clinical trial. J Clin Periodontol. 2012 Aug;39(8):736-44. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2012.01914.x.

**Iramain R** et al. [Consensus guideline on acute gastroenteritis in the emergency department. Emergency Medicine Committee of SLACIP (Latin American Society of Pediatric Intensive Care)]. 2017 Pediatr a (Asunci n). 44:249-258. doi: 10.18004/ped.2017.diciembre.249-258 (abstract in English).

**Jadrešin O** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in the Treatment of Functional Abdominal Pain in Children: RCT Study. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2017 Jun;64(6):925-929. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000001478.

**Jadrešin O** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 is effective in the treatment of functional abdominal pain in children: Results of the double-blind randomized study. Clin Nutr. 2020 Dec;39(12):3645-3651. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2020.04.019.

**Jadrešin** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* supplements do not affect salivary IgA or cytokine levels in healthy subjects: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over trial. Acta Odontol Scand. 2016 Jul;74(5):399-404. doi: 10.3109/00016357.2016.1169439.

**Jørgensen MR** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* supplements do not affect salivary IgA or cytokine levels in healthy subjects: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over trial. Acta Odontol Scand. 2016 Jul;74(5):399-404. doi: 10.3109/00016357.2016.1169439.

**Karadag N** et al. 1678 Treatment of Infantile Colic with *Lactobacillus reuteri* and the Relationship with Postpartum Depression: A Randomized Controlled Trial Study. Arch Dis Child 2012;97:A474-A475. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2012-302724.1678.

**Karvonen A** et al. Safety and possible antidiarrhoeal effect of the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* after oral administration to neonates. 2001 Clin Nutr 20 (suppl 3): 63 (No. 216) abstract.

Keller MK et al. Effect of chewing gums containing the probiotic bacterium *Lactobacillus reuteri* on oral malodour. Acta Odontol Scand. 2012 May;70(3):246-50. doi: 10.3109/00016357.2011.640281.

**Keller MK** et al. Probiotic supplements (*Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289) do not affect regrowth of mutans streptococci after full-mouth disinfection with chlorhexidine: a randomized controlled multicenter trial. Caries Res. 2012;46(2):140-6. doi: 10.1159/000337098.

**Keller MK**, Twetman S. Acid production in dental plaque after exposure to probiotic bacteria. BMC Oral Health. 2012 Oct 24;12:44. doi: 10.1186/1472-6831-12-44.

**Keller MK** et al. Effect of tablets containing probiotic bacteria (*Lactobacillus reuteri*) on early caries lesions in adolescents: a pilot study. Benef Microbes. 2014 Dec;5(4):403-7. doi: 10.3920/BM2013.0089.

**Keller MK**, Kragelund C. Randomized pilot study on probiotic effects on recurrent candidiasis in oral lichen planus patients. Oral Dis. 2018 Sep;24(6):1107-1114. doi: 10.1111/odi.12858.

**Kołodziej M**, Szajewska H. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea in children: a randomized clinical trial. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2019 Jun;25(6):699-704. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2018.08.017.

**Kosek MN** et al. Safety of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in Healthy Children 2-5 Years of Age. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2019 Aug;38(8):e178-e180. doi: 10.1097/INF.00000000000002267.

**Kotzev IA** et al. The effect of *L. reuteri* (ProGastria) on the eradication rate in elderly patients infected with *H. pylori*: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo controlled trial. J Prob health 2015 3:130. doi: 10.4172/2329-8901.1000130.

**Kraft-Bodi E** et al. Effect of Probiotic Bacteria on Oral Candida in Frail Elderly. J Dent Res. 2015 Sep;94(9 Suppl):181S-6S. doi: 10.1177/0022034515595950.

**Krasse P** et al. Decreased gum bleeding and reduced gingivitis by the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Swed Dent J. 2006;30(2):55-60.

**Kubota M** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and Magnesium Oxide in Children with Functional Chronic Constipation: A Double-Blind and Randomized Clinical Trial. Nutrients. 2020 Jan 15;12(1):225. doi: 10.3390/nu12010225.

**Laleman I** et al. A dual-strain *Lactobacillus reuteri* probiotic improves the treatment of residual pockets: A randomized controlled clinical trial. J Clin Periodontol. 2020 Jan;47(1):43-53. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13198.

**Laleman I** et al. Probiotics reduce mutans streptococci counts in humans: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Oral Invest. 2014;18(6):1539-1552. doi:10.1007/s00784-014-1228-z.

**Lee LY** et al. Normal growth of infants receiving an infant formula containing *Lactobacillus reuteri*, galactooligosaccharides, and fructo-oligosaccharide: a randomized controlled trial. Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol 1(1):9, online 7 April, 2015, doi: 10.1186/s40748-015-0008-3.

**Li P** et al. One-year supplementation with *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC PTA 6475 counteracts a degradation of gut microbiota in older women with low bone mineral density. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes. 2022 Oct 19;8(1):84. doi: 10.1038/s41522-022-00348-2.

**Lionetti E** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* therapy to reduce side-effects during anti-*Helicobacter pylori* treatment in children: a randomized placebo controlled trial. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2006 Nov 15;24(10):1461-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.03145.x.

**Mangalat N** et al. Safety and tolerability of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and effects on biomarkers in healthy adults: results from a randomized masked trial. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e43910. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043910.

**Manoppo J** et al. The role of Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938 for the absorption of iron preparations in children with iron deficiency anemia. Korean J Pediatr. 2019 May;62(5):173-178. doi: 10.3345/kjp.2018.07024.

**Maragkoudaki M** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and a placebo both significantly reduced symptoms in children with functional abdominal pain. Acta Paediatr. 2017 Nov;106(11):1857-1862. doi: 10.1111/apa.13992.

**Maragkoudaki M** et al. Efficacy of an Oral Rehydration Solution Enriched with *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and Zinc in the Management of Acute Diarrhoea in Infants: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Nutrients. 2018 Sep 1;10(9):1189. doi: 10.3390/nu10091189

**Martin-Cabezas R** et al. Clinical efficacy of probiotics as an adjunctive therapy to non-surgical periodontal treatment of chronic periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Periodontol. 2016;43(6):520-530. doi:10.1111/jcpe.12545.

**Martinelli M** et al. Efficacy of a standardized extract of Matricariae chamomilla L., Melissa officinalis L. and tyndallized *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (HA122) in infantile colic: An open randomized controlled trial. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2017 Dec;29(12). doi: 10.1111/nmo.13145.

**Martinen A** et al. Short-term consumption of probiotic lactobacilli has no effect on acid production of supragingival plaque. Clin Oral Investig. 2012 Jun;16(3):797-803. doi: 10.1007/s00784-011-0584-1.

**Maya-Barrios A**, et al. *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* ATCC PTA 5289 and DSM 17938 as adjuvants to improve evolution of pharyngitis/tonsillitis in children: randomised controlled trial. Benef Microbes. 2021 Apr 12;12(2):137-145. doi: 10.3920/BM2020.0171.

**Mazzone L**, et al. Precision microbial intervention improves social behavior but not autism severity: A pilot double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial. Cell Host Microbe. 2024 Jan;32(1):106-116.e6.. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2023.11.021.



**Meng N** et al. Effects of probiotics on preventing caries in preschool children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2023;47(2):85-100. doi:10.22514/jocpd.2023.014.

**Mobini R** et al. Metabolic effects of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in people with type 2 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2017 Apr;19(4):579-589. doi: 10.1111/dom.12861.

**Mi GL** et al. Effectiveness of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in infantile colic and colicky induced maternal depression: a prospective single blind randomized trial. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 2015 Jun;107(6):1547-53. doi: 10.1007/s10482-015-0448-9.

**Miniello VL** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* modulates cytokines production in exhaled breath condensate of children with atopic dermatitis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2010 May;50(5):573-6. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e3181bb343f.

**Miraglia del Giudice M** et al. Airways allergic inflammation and *L. reuteri* treatment in asthmatic children. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2012 Jan-Mar;26(1 Suppl):S35-40.

**Miraglia del Giudice M** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 plus vitamin D3 as ancillary treatment in allergic children with asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2016 Dec;117(6):710-712. doi: 10.1016/j.anai.2016.09.004.

**Mishra S** et al. Role of probiotics in adjunct to non-surgical periodontal therapy in patients with chronic periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Biol Regul Homeost agents. 2021;35(2 Suppl. 1):67-78. doi:10.23812/21-2suppl-6..

**Moreno Márquez C** et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial on the usefulness of probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* in bismuth-containing quadruple eradication therapy for infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. 2022 Feb;114(2):89-95. doi: 10.17235/reed.2021.7931/2021.

**Mundula T** et al. Effect of Probiotics on Oral Candidiasis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients. 2019;11(10):2449. doi:10.3390/nu1102449.

**Nikawa H** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* in bovine milk fermented decreases the oral carriage of mutans streptococci. Int J Food Microbiol. 2004 Sep 1;95(2):219-23. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.03.006.

**Nilsson AG** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* reduces bone loss in older women with low bone mineral density: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, clinical trial. J Intern Med. 2018 Sep;284(3):307-317. doi: 10.1111/joim.12805.

**Niv E** et al. The efficacy of *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 in the treatment of patients with irritable bowel syndrome--a double blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study. Clin Nutr. 2005 Dec;24(6):925-31. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2005.06.001.

**Oberhelman RA** et al. A phase one safety study of *Lactobacillus reuteri* conducted in the Peruvian Amazon: Observations from the field. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2014 Apr;90(4):777-80. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.13-0639.

**Ojetti V** et al. The effect of oral supplementation with *Lactobacillus reuteri* or tilactase in lactose intolerant patients: randomized trial. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2010 Mar;14(3):163-70.

**Ojetti V** et al. Impact of *Lactobacillus reuteri* Supplementation on Anti-*Helicobacter pylori* Levofloxacin-Based Second-Line Therapy. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2012;2012:740381. doi: 10.1155/2012/740381.

**Ojetti V** et al. The effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* supplementation in adults with chronic functional constipation: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis. 2014 Dec;23(4):387-91. doi: 10.15403/jgld.2014.1121.234.elr.

**Ojetti V** et al. Effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* (DSM 17938) on methane production in patients affected by functional constipation: a retrospective study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2017 Apr;21(7):1702-1708. **Ojetti V** et al. Randomized control trial on the efficacy of *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* ATCC PTA 4659 in reducing inflammatory markers in acute uncomplicated diverticulitis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2022 May 1;34(5):496-502. doi: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000002342.

**Olgaç B** et al. Comparison of probiotic and lactulose treatments in children with functional constipation and determination of the effects of constipation treatment on quality of life. Cocuk Sagligi ve Hastalıkları Dergisi. 2013;56. 1-7.

**Oliva S** et al. Randomised clinical trial: the effectiveness of *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 rectal enema in children with active distal ulcerative colitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2012 Feb;35(3):327-34. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04939.x.

**Papagaroufalis K** et al. A Randomized Double Blind Controlled Safety Trial Evaluating d-Lactic Acid Production in Healthy Infants Fed a *Lactobacillus reuteri*-containing Formula. Nutr Metab Insights. 2014 Apr 22;7:19-27. doi: 10.4137/NMI.S14113.

**Patro-Gotqb B, Szajewska H.** Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis: *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 for Treating Acute Gastroenteritis in Children. An Update. Nutrients. 2019 Nov 14;11(11):2762. doi: 10.3390/nu1112762.

**Pedersen AML** et al. Effect of Lozenges Containing *Lactobacillus reuteri* on the Severity of Recurrent Aphthous Ulcers: a Pilot Study. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. 2020 Sep;12(3):819-823. doi: 10.1007/s12602-019-09586-x.

**Pelekos G** et al. Effects of adjunctive probiotic *L. reuteri* lozenges on S/RSD outcomes at molar sites with deep pockets. J Clin Periodontol. 2020 Sep;47(9):1098-1107. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13329.

**Peña M** et al. Evaluation of the effect of probiotics in the treatment of peri-implant mucositis: a triple-blind randomized clinical trial. Clin Oral Investig. 2019 Apr;23(4):1673-1683. doi: 10.1007/s00784-018-2578-8.

**Pernica JM** et al. Rapid enteric testing to permit targeted antimicrobial therapy, with and without *Lactobacillus reuteri* probiotics, for paediatric acute diarrhoeal disease in Botswana: A pilot, randomized, factorial, controlled trial. PLoS One. 2017 Oct 9;12(10):e0185177. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185177.

**Petruzziello C** et al. (2019) Supplementation with *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC PTA 4659 in patients affected by acute uncomplicated diverticulitis: a randomized double-blind placebo controlled trial. Int J Colorectal Dis. 34:1087-1094.

**Poonyam P** et al. High Effective of 14-Day High-Dose PPI- Bismuth-Containing Quadruple Therapy with Probiotics Supplement for *Helicobacter Pylori* Eradication: A Double Blinded-Randomized Placebo-Controlled Study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2019 Sep 1;20(9):2859-2864. doi: 10.31557/APJCP.2019.20.9.2859.

**Puzhankara L** et al. Effectiveness of probiotics compared to antibiotics to treat periodontal disease: Systematic review. Oral Dis. Published online 2023. doi:10.1111/odi.14781.

**Rattanaprasert M** et al. Quantitative evaluation of synbiotic strategies to improve persistence and metabolic activity of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in the human gastrointestinal tract. J Funct Foods 2014 10:85-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2014.05.017.

**Rahmani P** et al. Evaluating the effects of probiotics in pediatrics with recurrent abdominal pain. Clin Exp Pediatr. 2020 Dec;63(12):485-490. doi: 10.3345/cep.2019.01613.

**Rethy LA** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 showing superiority to conventional preventive therapies against antibiotic associated gastrointestinal symptoms in children. Presented at the Fourth International Congress of Probiotics, Prebiotics in Pediatrics, April 12-14, 2018, Bari, Italy (poster).

**Rianda D** et al. Long-term benefits of probiotics and calcium supplementation during childhood, and other biomedical and socioenvironmental factors, on adolescent neurodevelopmental outcomes. Journal of Functional Foods, Volume 91, 2022,105014, ISSN 1756-4646, doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2022.105014.

**Riezzo G** et al. Randomised double blind placebo controlled trial on *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938: improvement in symptoms and bowel habit in functional constipation. Benef Microbes. 2018 Jan 29;9(1):51-60. doi: 10.3920/BM2017.0049.

**Riezzo G** et al. Effects of long-term administration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM-17938 on circulating levels of 5-HT and BDNF in adults with functional constipation. Benef Microbes. 2019 Mar 13;10(2):137-147. doi: 10.3920/BM2018.0050.

**Romani Vestman N** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* influences regrowth of mutans streptococci after full-mouth disinfection: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Caries Res. 2013;47(4):338-45. doi: 10.1159/000347233.

**Romani Vestman N** et al. Oral Microbiota Shift after 12-Week Supplementation with *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and PTA 5289; A Randomized Control Trial. PLoS One. 2015 May 6;10(5):e0125812. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125812.

**Romano C** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* in children with functional abdominal pain (FAP). J Paediatr Child Health. 2014 Oct;50(10):E68-71. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1754.2010.01797.x.

**Romeo MG** et al. Role of probiotics in the prevention of the enteric colonization by Candida in preterm newborns: incidence of late-onset sepsis and neurological outcome. J Perinatol. 2011 Jan;31(1):63-9. doi: 10.1038/jp.2010.57.

**Roos S** et al. 454 pyrosequencing analysis on faecal samples from a randomized DBPC trial of colicky infants treated with *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e56710. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056710.

**Rosander A** et al. Removal of antibiotic resistance gene-carrying plasmids from *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 and characterization of the resulting daughter strain, *L. reuteri* DSM 17938. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008 Oct;74(19):6032-40. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00991-08.

**Ruzhentsova TA** et al. [Optimisation of pathogenetic therapy of acute intestinal infections in children: results of a randomised trial.] Infekc. bolezni [Infectious diseases]. 2018 16: 70–76. doi: 10.20953/1729-9225-2018-2-70-76 (In Russian, with abstract in English).

**Sabatini S** et al. Oral probiotics in the management of gingivitis in diabetic patients: a double blinded randomized controlled study. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2017 Apr-Jun;31(2 Suppl 1):197-202.

**Sanz M** et al. Treatment of stage I-III periodontitis—The EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline. J Clin Periodontol. 2020;47(Suppl 22):4-60. doi:10.1111/jcpe.13290.

**Savino F** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* (American Type Culture Collection Strain 55730) versus simethicone in the treatment of infantile colic: a prospective randomized study. Pediatrics. 2007 Jan;119(1):e124-30. doi: 10.1542/peds.2006-1222.

**Savino F** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in infantile colic: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Pediatrics. 2010 Sep;126(3):e526-33. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-0433.

**Savino F** et al. Preventive effects of oral probiotic on infantile colic: a prospective, randomised, blinded, controlled trial using *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938. Benef Microbes. 2015;6(3):245-51. doi: 10.3920/BM2014.0090.

**Savino F** et al. Probiotics and gut health in infants: A preliminary case-control observational study about early treatment with *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938. Clin Chim Acta. 2015 Dec 7;451(Pt A):82-7. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2015.02.027.

**Savino F** et al. Regulatory T cells and Toll-like receptor 2 and 4 mRNA expression in infants with colic treated with *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM17938. Benef Microbes. 2018 Dec 7;9(6):917-925. doi: 10.3920/BM2017.0194.

**Savino F** et al. Crying Time and RORγ/FOXP3 Expression in *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM17938-Treated Infants with Colic: A Randomized Trial. J Pediatr. 2018 Jan;192:171-177.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.08.062. **Savino F** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 Probiotics May Increase CC-Chemokine Receptor 7 Expression in Infants Treated With for Colic. Front Pediatr. 2019 Jul 16;7:292. doi: 10.3389/fped.2019.00292.

**Savino F** et al. et al. Urinary metabolome of infants with colic treated with *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938: a pilot randomized trial. Minerva Pediatr. 2021 Jan 13. doi: 10.23736/S0026-4946.20.06128-9.

**Sayardoust S** et al. RDo Probiotics Cause a Shift in the Microbiota of Dental Implants—A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:823985. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.823985.

**Schlagenhauf U** et al. Regular consumption of *Lactobacillus reuteri* containing lozenges reduces pregnancy gingivitis: an RCT. J Clin Periodontol. 2016 Nov;43(11):948-954. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12606.

**Schlagenhauf U** et al. Consumption of *Lactobacillus reuteri*-containing lozenges improves periodontal health in navy sailors at sea: A randomized controlled trial. J Periodontol. 2020 Oct;91(10):1328-1338. doi: 10.1002/JPER.19-0393.

**Schreck Bird A** et al. Probiotics for the Treatment of Infantile Colic: A Systematic Review. J Pharm Pract. 2017 Jun;30(3):366-374. doi: 10.1177/0897190016634516.

**Schröder C** et al. Effects of the regular intake of the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* (DSM 17938) on respiratory and gastrointestinal infections in a workplace setting: a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial. BMC Nutrition, 2015 1:3. doi: 10.1186/2055-0928-1-3.

**Sendelius M** et al. Genomic, phenotypic and clinical safety of *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* ATCC PTA 4659. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. Published online 2023:kuad041. doi:10.1093/jimb/kuad041.

**Shi J** et al. Efficacy of probiotics against dental caries in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Food Sci. Published online 2022:1-18. doi:10.1080/10408398.2022.2077693.

**Shirazinia R** et al. Efficacy of probiotics for managing infantile colic due to their anti-inflammatory properties: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Clin Exp Pediatr. 2021 Dec;64(12):642-651. doi: 10.3345/cep.2020.01676.

**Shornikova AV** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* as a therapeutic agent in acute diarrhea in young children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1997 Apr;24(4):399-404. doi: 10.1097/00005176-199704000-00008.

**Shornikova AV** et al. Bacteriotherapy with *Lactobacillus reuteri* in rotavirus gastroenteritis. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1997 Dec;16(12):1103-7. doi: 10.1097/00006454-199712000-00002.

**Sinkiewicz G** et al. Influence of dietary supplementation with *Lactobacillus reuteri* on the oral flora of healthy subjects. Swed Dent J. 2010;34(4):197-206.

**Simonson J**, et al. Probiotics for the Management of Infantile Colic: A Systematic Review. MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs. 2021 Mar-Apr 01;46(2):88-96. doi: 10.1097/NMC.0000000000000691.

**Skonieczna-Żydecka K** et al. The Effect of Probiotics on Symptoms, Gut Microbiota and Inflammatory Markers in Infantile Colic: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Clin Med. 2020 Apr 2;9(4):999. doi: 10.3390/jcm9040999.

**Smith TJ** et al. Persistence of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM17938 in the human intestinal tract: response to consecutive and alternate-day supplementation. J Am Coll Nutr. 2011 Aug;30(4):259-64. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2011.10719968.



**Song D** et al. Role of probiotics containing *Lactobacillus reuteri* in adjunct to scaling and root planing for management of patients with chronic periodontitis: a meta-analysis. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol.* 2020;24(8):4495-4505. doi:10.26355/eurrev\_202004\_21032.

**Stensson M** et al. Oral administration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* during the first year of life reduces caries prevalence in the primary dentition at 9 years of age. *Caries Res.* 2014;48(2):111-7. doi: 10.1159/000354412.

**Sun X** et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis: the therapeutic and preventive effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 addition in children with diarrhea. *Bmc Gastroenterol.* 2023;23(1):141. doi:10.1186/s12876-023-02778-4.

**Sung V** et al. Probiotics to prevent or treat excessive infant crying: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2013 Dec;167(12):1150-7. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.2572.

**Sung V** et al. Treating infant colic with the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri*: double blind, placebo controlled randomised trial. *BMJ.* 2014 Apr 1;348:g2107. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g2107.

**Sung V** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* to Treat Infant Colic: A Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics.* 2018 Jan;141(1):e20171811. doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-1811.

**Szajewska H** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 for the management of infantile colic in breastfed infants: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Pediatr.* 2013 Feb;162(2):257-62. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.08.004.

**Szajewska H** et al. Probiotics for the Management of Pediatric Gastrointestinal Disorders: Position Paper of the ESPGHAN Special Interest Group on Gut Microbiota and Modifications. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2023 Feb 1;76(2):232-247. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000003633. Epub 2022 Oct 11.

**Szymański H, Szajewska H.** Lack of Efficacy of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 for the Treatment of Acute Gastroenteritis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2019 Oct;38(10):e237-e242. doi: 10.1097/INF.0000000000002355.

**Szkaradkiewicz AK** et al. Effect of oral administration involving a probiotic strain of *Lactobacillus reuteri* on pro-inflammatory cytokine response in patients with chronic periodontitis. *Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz).* 2014 Dec;62(6):495-500. doi: 10.1007/s00005-014-0277-y.

**Tada H** et al. The effects of *Lactobacillus reuteri* probiotics combined with azithromycin on peri-implantitis: A randomized placebo-controlled study. *J Prosthodont Res.* 2018 Jan;62(1):89-96. doi: 10.1016/j.jpor.2017.06.006.

**Tekçe M** et al. Clinical and microbiological effects of probiotic lozenges in the treatment of chronic periodontitis: a 1-year follow-up study. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2015 Apr;42(4):363-72. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12387.

**Teughels W** et al. Clinical and microbiological effects of *Lactobacillus reuteri* probiotics in the treatment of chronic periodontitis: a randomized placebo-controlled study. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2013 Nov;40(11):1025-35. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12155.

**Tran LL** et al. The effects of the probiotic, *Lactobacillus reuteri*, on experimental gingivitis. *AADR Annual Meeting, Tampa, Florida, USA, 21-24 March 2012, abstract 1533.*

**Trivić I** et al. Use of probiotics in the treatment of functional abdominal pain in children-systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2021 Feb;180(2):339-351. doi: 10.1007/s00431-020-03809-y.

**Tubelius P** et al. Increasing work-place healthiness with the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri*: a randomised, double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Environ Health.* 2005 Nov 7;4:25. doi: 10.1186/1476-069X-4-25.

**Twetman S** et al. Short-term effect of chewing gums containing probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* on the levels of inflammatory mediators in gingival crevicular fluid. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2009;67(1):19-24. doi: 10.1080/00016350802516170.

**Twetman S** et al. Effect of probiotic lozenges containing *Lactobacillus reuteri* on oral wound healing: a pilot study. *Benef Microbes.* 2018 Sep 18;9(5):691-696. doi: 10.3920/BM2018.0003.

**Urbańska M, Szajewska H.** The efficacy of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in infants and children: a review of the current evidence. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2014 Oct;173(10):1327-37. doi: 10.1007/s00431-014-2328-0.

**Urbanska M** et al. Effectiveness of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 for the Prevention of Nosocomial Diarrhea in Children: A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2016 Feb;35(2):142-5. doi: 10.1097/INF.0000000000000948.

**Valeur N** et al. Colonization and immunomodulation by *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 in the human gastrointestinal tract. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2004 Feb;70(2):1176-81. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.2.1176-1181.2004.

**Vaz SR** et al. Probiotics for infantile colic: Is there evidence beyond doubt? A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Acta Paediatr.* 2024 Feb;113(2):170-182. doi: 10.1111/apa.17036.

**Vicario M** et al. Clinical changes in periodontal subjects with the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* Prodentis: a preliminary randomized clinical trial. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2013 May-Jul;71(3-4):813-9. doi: 10.3109/00016357.2012.734404.

**Vidal-Vazquez P** et al. Efficacy of *Lactobacillus reuteri* strains DSM 16666 and DSM 17938 for treatment of urinary tract infections in premenopausal women: A proof-of-concept RCT. Presented at the The 26th World Congress on Controversies in Obstetrics, Gynecology & Infertility (COGI), Nov 23-25, 2018, London, UK. Abstract nr. 286.

**Vivekananda MR** et al. Effect of the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* (Prodentis) in the management of periodontal disease: a preliminary randomized clinical trial. *J Oral Microbiol.* 2010 Nov 2;2. doi: 10.3402/jom.v2i0.5344.

**Wadhwa A,** et al. Role of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 on Crying Time Reduction in Infantile Colic and Its Impact on Maternal Depression: A Real-Life Clinic-Based Study. *Clin Pract.* 2022 Jan 7;12(1):37-45. doi: 10.3390/clinpract12010005.

**Wanke M,** Szajewska H. Lack of an effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 in preventing nosocomial diarrhea in children: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Pediatr.* 2012 Jul;161(1):40-3.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2011.12.049.

**Wegner A** et al. The effectiveness of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 as an adjunct to macrogol in the treatment of functional constipation in children. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol.* 2018 Oct;42(5):494-500. doi: 10.1016/j.clinre.2018.03.008.

**Weizman Z** et al. Effect of a probiotic infant formula on infections in child care centers: comparison of two probiotic agents. *Pediatrics.* 2005 Jan;115(1):5-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-1815.

**Weizman Z,** Alsheikh A. Safety and tolerance of a probiotic formula in early infancy comparing two probiotic agents: a pilot study. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2006 Oct;25(5):415-9. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2006.10719554.

**Weizman Z.** Evaluating infants in day care following a probiotic supplementation period: is there long-term protection against common infections? *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2009 48(Suppl. 3): E74-E75, abstract PNI-07. (Follow-up of study in day-care infants, Weizman 2005.)

**Weizman Z** et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 for the Management of Functional Abdominal Pain in Childhood: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *J Pediatr.* 2016 Jul;174:160-164.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.04.003.

**Wolf BW** et al. Safety and Tolerance of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in Healthy Adult Male Subjects, Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease, 1995 8:2, 41-50, doi: 10.3109/08910609509141381

**Wolf BW** et al. Safety and tolerance of *Lactobacillus reuteri* supplementation to a population infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 1998 Dec;36(12):1085-94. doi: 10.1016/s0278-6915(98)00090-8.

**Wälivaara DÅ** et al. Effects of *Lactobacillus reuteri*-containing lozenges on healing after surgical removal of mandibular third molars: a randomised controlled trial. *Benef Microbes.* 2019 Jul 10;10(6):653-659. doi: 10.3920/BM2018.0168.

**Xu M** et al. The Efficacy and Safety of the Probiotic Bacterium *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 for Infantile Colic: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *PLoS One.* 2015 Oct 28;10(10):e0141445. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141445.

**Yoo JI** et al. The Effect of Probiotics on Halitosis: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Probiotics Antimicro.* 2019;11(1):150-157. doi:10.1007/s12602-017-9351-1.

**Zaja O** et al. "The role of *L. reuteri* DSM17938 in nutritional recovery and treatment of constipation in children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa - a randomized, double blind, placebo controlled study". *Clin Nutr ESPEN.* 2021 Dec;46:47-53. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.08.016.

**Zheng J** et al. A taxonomic note on the genus *Lactobacillus*: Description of 23 novel genera, emended description of the genus *Lactobacillus* Beijerinck 1901, and union of *Lactobacillaceae* and *Leuconostocaceae*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2020 Apr;70(4):2782-2858. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.004107.



# BioGaia®

Supporting your invisible heroes